Cochecho Waterfront Development Proposal





11 Beacon Street, Suite #1120 Boston, MA 02108 Phone: 617-742-6000 <u>www.cathartes.com</u> Contact: Jeff Johnston, jeffj@cathartes.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.) COVER PAGE	1
B.) TABLE OF CONTENTS	
C.) DEVELOPMENT TEAM AND ORGANIZATION	5-6
D.) DEVELOPMENT PLAN	7
SECTION 1-A	
SECTION 1-B	
SECTION 1-C	
SECTION 1-D	
SECTION 1-E	
SECTION 1-F	
SECTION 1-G	
SECTION 1-H	
SECTION 1-I	
SECTION 1-J	
SECTION 1-K	
SECTION 1-L	
SECTION 2-A	
SECTION 2-B	
SECTION 2-C	
SECTION 2-D	
SECTION 2-E	
SECTION 3-A	
SECTION 3-B	
SECTION 3-C	
SECTION 3-D	
SECTION 4	
SECTION 5-A	
SECTION 5-B	
SECTION 5-C	
SECTION 5-D	
SECTION 5-E	
SECTION 5-F	15
E.) CONCEPTUAL DESIGN	16
SECTION 1-A	
SECTION 1-B	
SECTION 2-A	
SECTION 2-B	
SECTION 2-C	

SECTION 2-D	17
SECTION 2-E	
SECTION 2-F	
SECTION 2-G	
SECTION 2-H	
SECTION 2-I	
F.) PROJECT SCHEDULE	20-21
G.) ENVIRONMENTAL / PUBLIC APPROVAL PLANS	22
H.) PUBLIC FUNDING PLAN	23
I.) DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED APPROACH TO DEVELOPING, MAINTAINING, AND MANAGING THE PROJECT	
SECTION 1	24
SECTION 2	24
SECTION 3	
SECTION 4	
	24
SECTION 5	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6	25
SECTION 5	25
SECTION 5SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2	
SECTION 5SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4	25 26 2626262626262626
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 5	25 26 26262626262626262626
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1. SECTION 2. SECTION 2. SECTION 3. SECTION 4. SECTION 5. SECTION 5. SECTION 6.	25 26 262626262626262627
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1. SECTION 1. SECTION 2. SECTION 3. SECTION 3. SECTION 4. SECTION 5. SECTION 5. SECTION 6. SECTION 6.	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B.	25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27
SECTION 5. SECTION 6. J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1. SECTION 2. SECTION 2. SECTION 3. SECTION 3. SECTION 4. SECTION 5. SECTION 5. SECTION 6. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-C.	25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27
SECTION 5SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 SECTION 6-A SECTION 6-A SECTION 6-B SECTION 6-D	25 26 2626262626262627272727272727
SECTION 5. SECTION 6. J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1. SECTION 2. SECTION 2. SECTION 3. SECTION 3. SECTION 4. SECTION 5. SECTION 5. SECTION 6. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-C.	25 26 2626262626262627272727272727
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 7	25 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 7	25 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 SECTION 6-A. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-B. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 6-D. SECTION 7 L.) FINANCIAL PROPOSAL	25 26 26262626262626272727272727272828
SECTION 5 SECTION 6	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6	
SECTION 5 SECTION 6 J.) APPROACH TO MARKETING K.) MARKET AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FINANCING PLAN SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4 SECTION 6-A SECTION 6-B SECTION 6-B SECTION 6-B SECTION 6-C SECTION 6-D SECTION 6-D SECTION 7 L.) FINANCIAL PROPOSAL SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 4	25 26 26262626262626272727272727272828282828282828282828282828
SECTION 5 SECTION 6	25

O.) DEVELOPER QUALIFICATIONS AND FINANCIAL CAPACITY	
SECTION 1	
SECTION 2	
SECTION 3	
SECTION 4	
SECTION 5	
SECTION 5-A	
SECTION 5-B	
SECTION 5-C	
SECTION 5-D	
SECTION 6	41
SECTION 6-A	41
SECTION 6-B	41
SECTION 7	42
P.) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS	43

Development Team and Organization

State the members of the development team, including the developer (including principals and any joint venture partners), management company, architect, landscape architect, urban planners, engineers, legal, marketing, contractors, financial partner(s) (if any), and other members key to development or operation of the project. Outline the roles and organization of the team, including key personnel in an organization chart.

Please see below for a partial list of the proposed development team. Each of these team members have existing relationships and have collaborated with Cathartes on one or more projects. Each of the below key personnel will report directly to Developer. Historically we have used local legal counsel for permitting and entitlements. We have not yet chosen legal counsel:

Team Member & Role	<u>Logo</u>	<u>Contact</u>
Cathartes <u>www.cathartes.com</u> Developer/Sponsor		Jeff Johnston/Jim Goldenberg, Principals
Embarc <u>www.embarcstudio.com</u> Architect	EMBARC	Dartagnan Brown/Rob Del Savio, Principals
Streetworks Studio www.streetworks-studio.com Urban Planner	Street-Works	Timothy Mount/Gregg Sanzari Co-Owners
Woodburn & Company www.woodburnandcompany.com Landscape Architect	woodburn & company Index compa	
Tighe & Bond www.tighebond.com Civil Engineer	Tighe &Bond	Patrick Crimmins, P.E., Project Manager
HFF <u>www.hfflp.com</u> Financing	HFF	Greg Labine, Managing Director
Noell Consulting Group <u>www.noellconsulting.com</u> Market Analysis	Ø	Todd Noell, Principal
Fulcrum Construction www.fulcrumconstruction.com Contractor	FULCRUM CONSTRUCTIONBill Jean, Director Business Developm	
People's United Bank <u>www.peoples.com</u> Financial Partners	People's United What know-how can do' Phil Cohen, Ser Vice President Commercial Re	

WinnResidential www.winncompanies.com Property Management	Winn Residential	Lynn Bora, Senior Vice President
Colwen Hotel Management www.colwenhotels.com Hotel Management	COLWEN HOTELS	Terry Bickhardt, CFO
Laz Parking www.lazparking.com Parking Management	PARKING	Marc Lutwack, Principal

Development Plan

All three of the Proposers have expressed an interest in most, if not all, of the parcels. Therefore each Proposers' development plan should include a detailed concept plan for each of the parcels being offered in this RFP process, with the exception that if the Proposer does not wish to propose on Parcel G, a concept plan for this parcel is not required.

- *1.) The development plan should include the following information:*
 - *a)* A program summarizing the proposed use or mix of uses for each parcel and each building or phases on each parcel.

Please see Proposed Parcel Summary (page 6 of Addendum A). The parcel sizes have been modified from the RFP:



Program Summary (abstracted from Page 5 of Addendum A):

<u>Program</u>	
Restaurant (4ps/1k sf)	4,000 SF
Retail/Work/Live (1ps/1k sf)	20,500 SF
Residential (1.25ps/Unit)	256 Units
Block B: 4 Stories	70 Units
Block D: 5 Stories	91 Units
Block E: 5 Stories	95 Units
Townhomes (1.6-2.5k /TH)	53 Units
(1 car garage, 1 car on street)	
Hotel (.75ps/Key)	120 Keys
Parking Provided	510 PS*
Surface	380 PS**
On-Street	130 PS
City Lot	42 PS
* does not in dude city lot 42 ps	
** does not include 1 ps inside Townhome	

b) Approximate estimates of gross and net square footage of finished and other building areas for each use, specifically:

Multi-family gross square feet by parcel:

- Parcel B: 80,000 sf
- Parcel D: 100,000 sf
- Parcel E: 105,000 sf
- net rentable square feet of upper floor residential is calculated at approximately 87% of gross square feet

Hotel gross square feet:

• Parcel F: 60,000 sf

Townhouse gross square feet:

Parcel C: Approx. 130,000 sf (included townhouses located on Parcels B &D)

Restaurant Pad gross square feet:

- Parcel A: 4,000 sf
- Gross square feet of building area including enclosed finished and unfinished areas (e.g. in-building parking, storage)

Please see 'b' above. All parking is proposed at grade.

• *Gross square feet of finished area (including occupiable and interior common areas)*

The gross square footage of the total project is approximately 475,000 sf.

• *Net occupiable area*

Net rentable area for multi-family buildings is estimated at 87% of gross square feet.

• Leasable area if different from occupiable area (e.g. NRA for office if including common area gross up factor)

All at grade commercial is based on actual square footage (i.e. no common area gross up factor)

• *Above-grade vs. any below-grade areas should be clearly broken out in the above areas.*

All parking is proposed at grade.

- *c)* Number and size of residential units, whether rental or for sale, including number of bedrooms
 - 309 total residential units (256 multi-family units and 53 townhouses).
 - All multi-family buildings are assumed rental and townhouses are assumed for sale.
 - Unit mix for bedrooms is not yet determined, but for pro forma purposes, we used the Noell Report, Addendum C.
 - The average size of the residential units is 950 sf.
 - The average size of the townhouses is 2,200 sf.

d) Anticipated type of use of commercial spaces (including any committed end users), number of seats of any restaurant.

The master plan includes 24,500 sf of commercial space (20,500 sf of ground level retail in the multi-family buildings and a 4,000 sf restaurant pad). Ground level space in the multi-family buildings will be designed as flexible 'mercantile' space available for lease. This portion of the development will serve as the commercial hub and would likely consist of food and beverage uses as well as destination retail, office, and co working that would activate the street, become an amenity for the community, and draw people to the area. The hotel restaurant and lounge located on the ground floor lobby will be oriented towards the restaurant on Parcel A to create more activity coming over the bridge and help deemphasize the pump house. Please see page 10 of Addendum A for Character Images.

e) Number of parking spaces and physical approach to on-site parking and any reliance on off-site public parking

The total number of parking spaces is 605, broken out as follows: 433 private (380 surface plus one inside space in each of the 53 townhouses) and 172 public (130 metered street spaces and 42 in the parking lot at the end of River Street).

The residential parking ratio per unit is targeted at 1.25, which includes 1.1 space per unit in the private surface lots and .15 night and weekend resident only spaces on the street. The second townhouse parking space, if needed, is also assumed on the street. The hotel was planned at .75 space/room.

It is assumed that overflow parking can be used on undeveloped parcels during construction for a fee similar to the Washington Mills agreement.

f) Number of stories/height

Please see Program Summary in Section 1.A.

g) Analysis demonstrating that proposed development complies with existing zoning or identify any variances required

The proposed buildings are not fully consistent with the Dover Waterfront Design Recommendations and may require accommodation. The design recommendations state the multi-family building heights to be 40' and four stories. Three of the buildings in the proposed master plan are five stories (Parcels D, E & F) and would be between 55-60' in height, which we believe is consistent with the density and aesthetic of the neighboring mill buildings and would help provide the critical mass necessary to activate the area.

A more in-depth zoning analysis will need to be conducted as each building moves through the Technical Review Committee and Planning Board public approval processes.

h) Building typology, materials, and general style

The typology and style for the multi-family and hotel buildings will draw inspiration from the Washington Mill property and industrial/shipping heritage of the site. Building materials would be a mix of brick, hardi-plank, wood, and metal.

i) If phasing is contemplated, state the order of phasing and anticipated time-frames for later phases

Please refer to Section F.

j) Anticipated preconditions for financing and construction of initial and any later phases

There are no anticipated preconditions for financing and construction of initial or any later phases other than the park improvements on the Horsley-Whitten Plans as this is the main amenity for the community.

k) Plan for ensuring active use of commercial space on ongoing basis.

We anticipate the profile of commercial tenants will be a combination of restaurant, coffee, gallery, service retail and office users, in addition to potential collaborative co-work and/or maker space. We believe this mixed-use approach will create a vibrant and sustainable commercial district that can evolve and adapt as the city grows, while clustering retail uses in the base of the multi-family buildings maximizes their opportunity for financial success. One term we recently heard at a real estate conference and would like to use as a theme is 'culture is the new credit'. We hope to curate these retail spaces with local restaurants and shops to create a unique, authentic destination that will be a draw for the residents in the project and community as a whole.

l) Other information for uses as appropriate to fully describe them

The following photos provide examples of Cathartes' experience in ground level commercial:



Profile Coffee Bar



Row 34



The Offices at Portwalk Place (Ground Level Office Use)



Hampton Inn & Suites at Portwalk Place



Portsmouth Harbor Events & Conference Center (Ground level hotel use)



Marriott Residence Inn at Portwalk Place

- 2.) Characterization of development program components in terms of:
 - a) target markets

Recommended Unit Mix and Layout Based On Target Audiences

	Young Singles	Mature Singles	Professional Couples	Empty Nesters	Roommates	Families w/ Children		
			9.2					
Description	Professionals working in the area seeking a lifestyle and easy access to employment and entertainment.	Singles over 35 seek convenience in quieter setting. Many have relocated to the area for work and may choose to rent before buying.	Couples mostly in their late 20s & 30s, with some early 40s. A mix of recently married and dating. May be recent relocatees.	Mature couples & empty nesters. Established & seeking home close to work and cultural / lifestyle amenities. May have 2nd home.	Singles seeking lifestyle & entertainment, roommating out of financial necessity, primarily students & recent graduates.	New parents and those with young and early school- aged children. Some single parents working in the area. Often in transition.		
How They Use Their Units	Use unit as crash pad and place to unwind. Often more active/out and about. Trade space for less rent. Appreciate well-executed building amenities.	Tend to stay in more than younger counterparts. More likely to cook and host. Value space, quality, but not excessive finishes, and functional unit layouts.	Eat-in more often, but still enjoy going out. Tend to stay local. Value amenities, and have friends over on a frequently.	A true home. Eat-in most nights and host friends on a regular basis. seek spacious kitchen and living areas. Often down-sizing and need extra storage.	Most space for the money and keeping absolute rents lower. Seeking at minimum 1 bed units w/ dens, primarily 2Bs.	Seeking space and functionality while keeping absolute rents low. Will use unit as true home.		
Typical Income	\$35,000-\$75,000	\$35,000-\$100,000	\$75,000-\$150,000	\$100,000-\$200,000+	<\$100,000 (combined)	\$75,000-\$150,000		
Typical Rent	\$1,250 - \$2,000	\$1,500 - \$2,800	\$1,250 - \$3,250	\$1,700+	<\$2,500	<\$2,500		
Age Range	22 - 35	35 - 55	25-44	45-64	22-35	25-34		×
Market Mix	30%	5%	25%	10%	20%	10%		it M
	STRONG	MODERATE	STONG	MODERATE	STRONG	MODERATE	×	5
Level of Opportunity	Drawn to convenience and walkable downtown lifestyle, as well as access to major employment drivers.	Comparable draws to younger singles. Separation from hustle and bustle of downtown proper will add to appeal.	Will appreciate new residential community feel coupled with access to employment, possible split commuting.	Strong lifestyle and walkability can attract these mature renters.	Will be somewhat limited by higher rents, but lifestyle proposition will be very appealing.	Convenient yet quiet/safe location w/ job proximity & new product will be appealings. Interest in for- sale townhouse product.	Market Indicated Mix	Current Subject Site Unit Mix
Subject Mix	20%	10%	25%	10%	20%	15%	rket	Ten
			Distribution by Unit Type				Ma	ā
Studio	25%					-	5%	0%
Jr. 1B/1b	25%	20%	10%	-	-	-	10%	15%
1B/1b	50%	75%	40%	15%		5%	30%	33%
Jr. 2B/1b		5%	25%	20%	20%	30%	17%	14%
2B/2b		-	25%	40%	70%	50%	32%	33%
3B/3b		-		25%	10%	15%	7%	5%
Summary	An ideal unit mix for this building should be heavily geared towards value-oriented professionals by including a healthy share of Jr. 1B units, & roommate efficient 2B/1b units							

Summary: An ideal unit mix for this building should be nearly geared towards value-oriented professionals by including a nearling share of or. The units, & roommate emcent 20 ib units while also offering more traditional 1B and 2B/2b floorplans to appeal to more established singles and couples. Families could be attracted to a small offering of 3B/2b units.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group

b) price point

Market Monthly Rate or Rents/Unit:	\$1,600 - \$2,750
Average Townhouse Sale Price:	\$415,000
Hospitality/Hotel: Average Daily Rate	\$140

c) for sale vs. rental

Multi-family: Rental Townhouse: For Sale

d) operating/management structure

In house asset management and third-party management for residential, commercial and hotel.

e) potential or committed tenants (provide letters of interest or commitment)

None at this time.

3.) Parking proposal in terms of:

There has been a lot of discussion about the future of parking demand, especially in cities. In general, there seems to be a consensus that parking demand could decrease in the future as a result of ride sharing, increased use of public transportation due to longer commute times, and autonomous vehicles. The timeline for these changes is uncertain and therefore we have programmed sufficient parking for current demand while taking into consideration practical reuse scenarios in the event parking demand decreases in the future.

The 130 on street parking spaces and 42 space parking lot will be metered/managed with the revenue going to the City. The amount is estimated at \$500,000 annually.

The interim parking agreement with the Washington Mills can stay in place during the phased construction.

a) number of private and public spaces

The total number of parking spaces is 605, broken out as follows: 433 private (380 surface plus one inside space in each of the 53 townhouses) and 172 public (130 metered street spaces and 42 in the parking lot at the end of River Street).

b) number dedicated, monthly, transient

Shared parking analysis has not been completed yet.

c) preliminary estimate of demand and shared use

Targeting 1.25/unit for residential, 2/unit for townhouses, and .75/room for hotel.

The street level metered spaces could be used at night by residents and the hotel parcel could be used during the day.

d) whether parking is proposed to be publicly or privately owned and managed and any operating requirements

If needed, onsite parking management, likely only contemplated for the hotel, would be by LAZ Parking Management.

4.) State whether the proposed project is confined to the property offered by the City or if it utilizes additional property. If the latter, specify the level of commitment of such additional property to be included in the Proposer's project and provide evidence of such commitment. If the proposed project may include additional property, but such property has not yet come under the Proposer's control, state the status of acquisition efforts, and, in the appropriate sections, below, the impact on program, design, phasing, and proposed terms that acquisition of such additional property would have on the project.

The proposed project is confined to the property offered by the city.

- 5.) For any public improvements (e.g. parks, promenades, infrastructure, etc.) proposed to be constructed by Proposer, provide:
 - *a)* nature/description (e.g. components, amenities, square footage, seating, public art, etc.)

The plan incorporates a greenway or pocket park similar to the 'Landscape Zones' in the design recommendations and the Union Studio Plan.

The master plan includes a landscape element at the end of the street located in the 'Picnic Grove' area of the plan (per sheet C-6 of civil drawings). We would like to collaborate with the City's design team and potentially participate in the enhancement of this element. The plan incorporates a greenway or pocket park between Parcels B & C, similar to the Union Studio plan which will be designed by the landscape architect. Construction and maintenance of this area is to be mutually agreed upon.

b) phasing

Unknown at this time.

c) capital cost (hard and soft)

Not yet budgeted.

d) maintenance and management costs

Maintenance and management costs inside property lines will be responsibility of the Owner.

e) responsibility for funding capital costs, maintenance, management, and programming

All improvements inside property line will be constructed by owner. All improvements on the Horsley Whitten May 2018 75% Permitting Plans to be constructed and maintained by the City. The construction and design of the greenway between Parcel B & C is to mutually agreed up upon.

All utilities to be stubbed out to the individual parcels.

f) basis for cost estimates

N/A

Conceptual Design

Proposers shall familiarize themselves with the Design Guidelines adopted by CWDAC on September 19, 2017 and insure that their proposed development meets or exceeds the adopted standards. Proposals should remain in conformity with the approved Concept Plan and the adopted Design Guidelines, with the exception that Proposers may consider the realignment of River Street as it relates to the stretch between Henry Law Avenue and the existing pump station

- *1)* A design narrative which describes:
 - *a)* the goals of the proposed design and development mix and how the various elements work to achieve those goals

The goal of the proposed design and development mix was to create a great and viable year-round destination, see the left hand column on page 5 of Addendum A (Use plan with Development Principals). The main design for the master plan was a collaboration with Street-Works Design Studio (SW) in conjunction with the in-house knowledge of the economically viable uses. The team reviewed the Union Studio Plan, Cecil Group March 2015 Study, Horsley-Whitten Plan, Design Guidlines and notes from the CWDAC meeting videos to formulate this master plan vision. The first phase of the design pages 1-4 is an analysis plan to connect the site to the downtown which includes creating a new retail 'main intersection' and building height to draw people to the site.

The balance of the plan (see page 5 for 'Development Principles') outlines the Program Summary, site rendering and Character Images to form the overall vision.

The plan has ground level retail clustered in the base of the multi-family buildings and assumes five story (lower than the Washington Mill property) vs. four story buildings that step down to the water. The internal street has been rotated to create a better connection with the new park. The plan also assumes the realignment of River Street.

We would support and encourage The Union Studio, 'Preliminary Park Plans', showing active public spaces with uses such as skating and rowing and encourage seasonal food & beverage 'pop-ups'. One successful example of this is Sullivan's Castle Island in South Boston. (www.sullivancastleisland.com).

b) Characterization of design style, quality level, or other information that would give the City a better understanding of the character of the buildings, public improvements, and project as a whole

Please see Addendum A.

- *2)* A set of conceptual level design graphics (in 8 ½" x 11", 8 ½" x 14", or 11" x 17" form in the body of the proposal document) and in one set of presentation boards which communicate the following:
 - a) an overall site layout plan which depicts the location, footprints, and mix of uses, including a table which identifies the net and gross square foot area of each use by floor level

Please see Addendum A.

b) conceptual development site plan which highlights the key design elements and proposed site materials

Presentation boards are not included. Please see Addendum A.

c) key architectural elevations and renderings for each building depicting details and overall architectural character of the development as well as building height in feet and number of floors.

Please see Addendum A.

d) a description of how the proposed site layout promotes sustainable development and energy conservation features, including taking advantage of alternative energy sources

The buildings will be designed at minimum to Energy Star level.

e) floor plans for each floor of each proposed structure

Not included.

f) not less than two overall cross sections of the site which illustrate how the development responds to the terrain and relates to the public waterfront park and the river

Not included.

g) not less than one perspective rendering which serves to communicate the character of each of the key elements within the project

See Pages 8 & 9 of Addendum A.

h) provisions for public transit stops or shelters

Bus stop and bike share are provided in page 7 of Addendum A.

i) Any other graphics the Proposer considers helpful in facilitating CWDAC's understanding of the Proposer's vision such as precedent images (e.g. photographs of buildings comparable in scale and style to those proposed for this project)

Please see Addendum A. All below photos are images from other Cathartes projects:





Orpheum Apartments Washington Street, Dover, NH



Hampton Inn & Suites Portsmouth, NH



Project Schedule

Schedule for project implementation depicting milestones for the full project and its component parts, from commencement through occupancy of the final phase. Schedule should be presented in a graphic format, allowing understanding of any cause and effect relationships between major elements. More than one schedule may be presented if considered to increase clarity, e.g. a schedule for overall multi-phase project and a more focused schedule for initial phase development components.

The target project schedule is, shown here as spring 2019, to start construction of the first multi-family building on Parcel E as soon as possible and roll out subsequent phases on a 6-18 month timeframe, subject to market conditions. We have used an average of 2.5 years from start to finish of each phase in the below project schedule:

Notes on schedule:

*Parcel A: Restaurant – Assume pre-leased

*Parcel D: Multi Family/Townhouse – May accelerate based on townhouse sales velocity. *Townhouses on all parcels will be developed simultaneously.

Please see next page for full sized version of the schedule.



F. Project Schedule Duration Start Image: Schedule Start					
ID Task Modi Task Name Duration Start Modi Start Modi <th< td=""><th>٢Z</th><th>2</th><td>Permitting</td><td>sysb 0ð</td><td>Z/9T/ZT PəM</td></th<>	٢Z	2	Permitting	sysb 0ð	Z/9T/ZT PəM
ID Stack Name Duration Stark Name 1 Stack Name Duration Stark Name 1 Stack Name Borcel A - Restaurant Stod ays Mon 7/1/19 2 Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/20 Stark Name 2 Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/20 Stark Name 3 S Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/20 3 S Design Non 7/1/20 Stark Name 3 S Design Stark Name Stark Name 3 S Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/20 4 S Construction 220 days Med 1/1/20 5 Permitting 60 days Med 1/1/20 6 S Stark Oadys Med 1/1/20 7 S Design Toustruction 220 days Med 1/1/20 7 S Design Stare Oadys Med 1/1/20 Stare O20 7 S Design Med 1/1/20 <th>97</th> <th>2</th> <td>Design</td> <td>syeb 021</td> <td>02/1/7 bəW</td>	97	2	Design	syeb 021	02/1/7 bəW
ID Start Name Duration Start Name 1 Start Name Start Name Start	52	2	Parcel F - Hospitality	syeb 084	0Z/T/L pəM
ID Task Name Duration Stark Name 1 385 Name	54	2	dU əssəl	syeb 081	02/9/ 1 noM
ID Task Name Duration Stark Name 1 Task Vame Duration Stark Name Duration Stark Name 1 Task Name Duration Stark Name Stark Name Stark Name 1 Task Name Duration Stark Name Stark Name Stark Name 1 Task Name Design Borcel A - Restaurant Stor days Mon 7/1/19 2 Design Mont String Stor days Mon 7/1/20 3 Task Value Stor days Mod 1/20 3 Task Value Stor days Mon 7/1/20 3 Task Cask Task Cask Task Cask 4 Task Cask Task Cask Task Cask 3 Design Task Cask Task Cask 4 Task Cask Task Cask Task Cask 4 Task Cask Task Cask Task Cask 4 Task Cask Task Cask Task Cask 5 Design Task Cask Med 1/1/20 <th>53</th> <th>2</th> <td>Construction</td> <td>syeb 082</td> <td>61/11/5 noM</td>	53	2	Construction	syeb 082	61/11/5 noM
ID 7ask Name Duration Start ID 7ask Nake Name Duration Start ID 7ask Name Duration Start ID 7ask Name Duration Start ID Task Name Start Start ID Task Parcel A - Restaurant Sto days Mon 7/1/19 ID Task Design 60 days Mon 7/1/20 ID Task Mon 7/1/100 Sto days Mon 7/1/20 ID Task Design ID Sto days Mon 12/1/20 ID Task Design ID Sto days Mon 1/1/20 ID Task Design ID Sto days Mon 1/1/20 ID Design ID ID Sto days Med 1/1/20 ID Design ID ID Sto days Med 1/1/20 ID Design ID ID ID ID ID ID Design ID ID ID ID	72	2	Permitting	sysb 0ð	τ/ζτ/ζτ noM
ID Aser Name Duration Start ID Aser Name Duration Start ID Aser Name Bonetion Start ID Estimation Start Start	77	2	ngisəD	syeb 021	81/2/7 noM
ID 136X Mame Duration Start ID 126X Name Duration Start ID Modi 100 100 Start ID Modi Start Duration Start ID Modi Start Start Start ID Modi Start Start Start ID Start Start Start Start Start ID Start Start S	07		Parcel E - Multi Family	syeb 048	81/2/7 noM
Image: Construction of the set o	6T		dU əssəl	syeb 081	T2/9/0T pəM
Ib Task Task Name Duration Start 1 Task Task Name Duration Start 1 Task Task Name Bordays Mon 7/1/19 1 Task Percel A - Restaurant Bodays Mon 7/1/19 1 Task Percel A - Restaurant Bodays Mon 7/1/19 1 Task Percel A - Restaurant Bodays Mon 7/1/19 1 Task Design Design Mon 12/1/20 1 Task Percel B - Multi Family Bodays Mon 7/1/20 1 Task Percel B - Multi Family Bodays Mon 7/1/20 1 Task Design Design Mon 7/1/20 1 Task Percel B - Multi Family Bodays Med 10/1/20 1 Task Design Design Med 3/20 1 Task Percel D - Multi Family Bodays Thu 1/1/20 1 Task Percel D - Multi Family Bodays Thu 1/1/20 1 Task Percel D - Multi Family Bodays Thu 1/1/20	3T	2	Construction	syeb 082	02/6/6 baW
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Mod Task Name Duration Start ID Mod Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Mon 7/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 180 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 180 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60	L٢	2	Permitting	syeb 0ð	0Z/LT/9 b9W
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Mod Task Name Duration Start ID Mod Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Mon 7/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 180 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 180 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60	9T	2	ngisəD	syeb 021	02/T/T pəM
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Modi Ance Duration Start ID Modi Start Mon 7/1/19 Start ID Parcel A - Restaurant Sto days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Mon 12/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 70 days	ST		Parcel D - Multi Family	syeb 048	07/1/1 pəM
IDU TASK Jask Vame Duration Start IDU TASK Jask Vame Duration Start IDU TASK Jask Vame Duration Start IDU TASK Jask Vame Jask Vame Jask Vame IDU TASK	14		5ell Out	syeb 081	ε <mark>ፘ</mark> /ፒፖ/6 пц⊥
ID 385 Mame Duration 548 Mame ID 385 Mame Duration 548 Mame ID 787 Mame 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Rutiting 60 days Mon 7/1/10 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Mod 10/12/100 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 9/9/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 60 days Med 9/9/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 9/9/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 9/9/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 10/50 ID Parcel D Parcel D Parcel D Parcel D ID Parcel D Parce	13	2	Construction	syab 004	22/01/8 n4T
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Borcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/19 10 ID Permitting 60 days Mon 12/16/1 10 ID Permitting 60 days Mon 12/16/1 10 ID Permitting 60 days Mod 1/1/20 10 ID Permitting 60 days Med 1/1/20 10 ID Permitting Fermitting 100 10 10 ID Permitting Fermitting 60 days Med 1/1/20 10 ID Permitting Fermitting 100 10 10 10 10 10	77		Permitting	syeb 0ð	τζ/9τ/ζτ nyl
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Rustining 60 days Mon 7/1/10 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Mon 12/16/12 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Permitting 60 days Med 0/9/20 ID Permitting 280 days Med 9/9/20 ID Standon<	ττ	2	Design	syeb 021	τz/τ/∠ ny⊥
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Modi Abodi Stortel A ID Percel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Percel B - Multi Family 60 days Mon 12/16/1 A P Construction 240 days Mon 12/16/1 A P Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 A P Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 A P Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 A P Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Wed 1/1/20 F Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Wed 1/1/20 F Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Wed 1/1/20 F Permitting F F F F Permitting F </td <th>ΟT</th> <th></th> <td>əsnoqumoT</td> <td>syeb 097</td> <td>τፘ/τ/૮ ոϥ⊥</td>	ΟT		əsnoqumoT	syeb 097	τ ፘ/ τ/૮ ոϥ⊥
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Modi Asset Name Duration ID Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel B - Retraiting 60 days Mon 7/1/10 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Mon 12/16/10 ID Parcel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family Med 3/2 Petratizing ID Parcel B - Multi Family Asobays Med 1/1/20 ID Parcel B - Multi Family Asobays Med 1/1/20 ID Petraiting 640 days Med 1/1/20 ID Petraiting Borsel B - Multi Family Med 6/1/20 ID Petraiting Betraiting Med 6/1/20 ID Petraiting Med 6/1/20 Med 6/1/20 ID Petraiting Betraitende Med 6/1/20 ID Petraitenge Betraitenge Med 6/1/20 ID Petraitenge Betraitenge Med 6/1/20	6		dU əssəl	syeb 081	T2/9/0T b9W
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start 1 Anod Sec days Mon 7/1/19 1 Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 2 Design 60 days Mon 12/120 3 Permitting 60 days Mon 12/140/120 3 Permitting Construction 240 days Med 1/1/20 4 P Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 5 Percel B - Multi Family 640 days Med 1/1/20 5 Design 120 days Med 1/1/20 5 Design 120 days Med 1/1/20	8	2	Construction	syeb 082	02/6/6 baW
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start 1 Nodi Ancel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 1 P Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/19 2 Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/19 3 P Permitting 60 days Mon 7/1/19 3 P Permitting 60 days Mon 12/16/1 3 P Permitting 60 days Mon 12/16/1 3 P Permitting 640 days Mod 1/1/20	L			syeb 0ð	07/L1/9 b9W
ID Task Name Duration Start ID Task Name Duration Start ID Modi Start ID ID Parcel A - Restaurant S60 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S60 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S60 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S60 days Mon 7/1/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S10 days S10 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S10 days S13/19 ID Parcel A - Restaurant S10 days S13/19 ID S10 days S10 days S10	9		Design	syeb 021	02/T/T pəM
ID Task Name Duration Start 10 Task Name Duration Start 1 Parcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19 2 Design 60 days Mon 7/1/19 3 Permitting 60 days Mon 9/23/19	S		Parcel B - Multi Family	syeb 048	0Z/T/T PƏM
ID 73sk Name Start Nod 1 Mod 2 Mon 7/1/19 2 Mon 7/19 2 Mon 7/1/19 2 Mon 7/19 2 Mon 7/1/19 2 Mon 7/19 2 Mon 7/19	4	2	Construction	240 days	1/91/21 uoM
ID Task Name Duration Start 10 Task Name Burcel A - Restaurant 360 days Mon 7/1/19	3				
ID Task Name Duration Start Mod	Z		Design		
ID Task Name Duration Start	τ			syeb 09£	6 T/T/ Z uoM
F. Project Schedule	ai			Duration	Start
	F. Project Schedule				

Construction

58 🚽

	Հուտան	
81/12/2 noM :916	Milestone	•
roject: msproj11	Split	
	AseT	

syeb 082

Environmental / Public Approvals Plan

Outline the major elements of the environmental and public approvals process (including agencies and approvals required) for the project and the key issues and time frames entailed. The plan should address environmental permitting required for each parcel. Time frames should be incorporated in the Project Schedule.

We have not completed an in depth third-party review of the environmental status of the property. We assumed any required state environmental approvals would be jointly pursued with the City. These would include but not be limited to: the remedial action plan (RAP), ground water management plan (SGMP), and any deed restrictions. These approvals/agreements would be mutually agreed upon and subject to lender approvals. We would reserve the right to work with our own environmental engineer but have worked with GZA recently on our Plymouth Station project. We assumed that current monitoring well locations can be relocated per Addendum #2 and therefore did not constrain master plan. If the above approvals require soil and ground water remediation to allow for the planned uses, those costs would be incurred by the city.

Public Funding Plan

Identify any public funding, other than from the City, which might be sought for the project and your assessment of prerequisites, likelihood, amount and timing of receiving those funds. Elaborate any actions the City or other public body needs to take to assist in acquiring the sought after funding.

Identify in your financial proposal whether any such funding is assumed and the implications if it is or is not secured.

We have not assumed any public financing in this proposal.

Description of the proposed approach to developing, maintaining, and managing the project

1) Role of the Proposer in developing the various project components itself versus partnering with or selling/sub-leasing parcels to other developers.

We will develop all parcels, except Parcel G.

2) Approach to land takedown consistent with Section V.C.4.h.

It is anticipated that the land will be taken down in phases, pursuant to the attached project schedule, and consistent with Section V.C.4.h.

3) Role of the Proposer in providing security and maintenance during the pre-development and development phases of the project.

We will secure each parcel during construction and provide onsite management and maintenance thereafter.

4) Approach to ownership, management, and maintenance of land and improvements relative to private development components and management and maintenance of public improvements if offered by Proposer

All ownership, management, maintenance of land, and improvements inside the property line will be the responsibility of the owner. The maintenance and management of the greenway connector between Parcel B & C is to be mutually agreed upon.

5) Nature and level of commitment to ongoing ownership and management of the project by Proposer.

Our business plan assumes long term ownership with in-house asset management and third-party property management. Winn Residential is the proposed management company.

6) Identification of any anticipated or programmed transfer of ownership of the project or any portion of the project (including the land).

Each parcel/property will be owned in a separate limited liability company (LLC) as required by the individual lenders. The LLC's will be managed and controlled by the principals at Cathartes.

Approach to Marketing

Detailed description of the proposed marketing strategy for this development, including marketing budget for the initial and subsequent phases.

We will utilize a collaborative marketing process, working with our management companies, branding consultant and market research consultant to create a progressive and targeted marketing strategy for each phase of the development. As our target markets are more clearly defined, we will create specific strategies and tools to communicate our message to the market including utilization of print, social and other electronic media as well as public relations and events.

Emphasis on community is a core element of our brand, both within our project itself and in our participation in the neighboring community. We will incorporate that community integration into our marketing process by highlighting not only the value proposition of the project, but that of the city including restaurants, open space/waterfront park, public transportation, downtown grocer, and overall walkscore. Please see <u>www.portwalkplace.com</u> as a current example.

During the brand and logo process, the history of the site and the city play an important role. For example, our new development at 104 Washington Street has been named Orpheum Apartments, honoring the old theater located on site. Marketing for Orpheum Apartments was completed by Doerr Associates (<u>www.mdoerr.com</u>). The Orpheum name and theme will also be carried through to the common area/lobby design elements and project amenities.

There is no marketing budget at this time.

Market and Financial Analysis and Financing Plan

For the entire projected multi-phase land development as well as for each major project component, building/site, and phase provide:

1) Development cost budget, detailing all major categories of hard and soft costs

See page 2 of Addendum B ('Sources and Uses of Funds').

- 2) Debt and equity financing assumptions for preconstruction, construction and operating periods, including fixed or floating interest rate on debt, amortization period, maximum DCR, LVR and/or LCR, financing fees, target equity and unleveraged return requirements in terms of OAR, ROE, IRR, net or gross profit margin, or other
 - Financing ratios for total project costs per parcel, except Parcel F, are assumed 65% debt and 35% equity.
 - Parcel F (hotel) is assumed 60% debt and 40% equity.
 - Residential targeted Return on Cost range from 7.0 7.75%.
 - Hotel targeted Return on Cost range from 8.5 9.5%.
 - Townhouse targeted gross profit margins range from 20-30%.
- 3) Sources and uses of financing

See page 2 of Addendum B ('Sources and Uses of Funds').

4) Financial pro forma and cash flow analysis detailing projected gross income, expenses and net cash flow for ten years of operation beginning upon project completion (or through sell-out for for-sale components), as well as a multi-phase land development financial model

Please see all of Addendum B for the full multi-phase development financial model.

5) Basis and assumptions of the above analyses should be presented at a level of detail sufficient to allow an understanding of their derivation. All construction and other development costs and revenues and expenses should be presented in a manner that allows for clear understanding of their equivalent in 2018 dollars and the escalation factors assumed in future dollar estimates

Please see all of Addendum B for the full multi-phase development financial model.

- 6) Market analysis supporting the viability of all aspects of the proposed development, including but not limited to:
 - a) identification of target markets and project characteristics appropriate to those markets
 - *b) description of comparable and competitive projects in terms of size, character, status, pricing, absorption and other relevant factors*
 - *c)* projections of absorption, occupancy, and pricing within near and long-term future
d) basis for establishing the assumptions used for the Proposer's pro forma cash flow analysis

Market analysis was conducted in-house and by third party. The in-house portion included the previous work done on the 104 Washington/Orpheum Apartments market analysis including the third party appraisal for the construction financing. We also have market knowledge from the four hotels developed in Portsmouth. The third-party analysis was done by Noell Consulting which focused on the multi-family & townhouse target markets, pricing and amenity packages.

- 7) Other Financial Benefits Proposals shall also include a description and estimation of other significant financial and economic benefits to be derived by the City from the proposed project, including real estate taxes and construction and permanent employment
 - Annual taxes upon completion are estimated at \$1,300,000.
 - Annual parking revenue of \$500,000 (172 spaces, \$1/hour, 10-hour day, 65% occupancy including additional enforcement revenue).
 - Total annual revenue to the City of \$1,800,000 million.

Over 200 construction trade jobs will work on the project over the course of the multi-phased construction. There will be an estimated 100 permanent jobs on site in hotel & residential management & maintenance, retail sales, restaurant management & service.

Financial Proposal

1) Price and complete terms of purchase for each parcel of the City-owned land.

Total purchase price of \$3,112,500, broken down by parcel price below:

Parcel A	\$80,000
Parcel B Multi-family	\$480,000
Parcel B&C Townhouses	\$795,000
Parcel D	\$682,500
Parcel E	\$475,000
Parcel F	\$600,000

2) Phased acquisition/land take-down schedule and relationship to key milestones

Payments are made upon closing of each individual parcel estimated at time of construction commencement. Please see Section F for milestones.

3) Scope, nature, cost, timing, and conditions, if any, relative to public improvements to be funded by the developer (parks and public infrastructure)

We assume that the improvements will be built by the City, in accordance with the Horsley Whitten 75% Civil Drawings, dated May 2018 including all waterfront park improvements. We also assume the realignment of River Street and the internal street per the master plan will be built by the City including stubbing per parcel of utilities.

4) Identification of any private project components which may at any time charge a user fee to general public (e.g. parking garage) and provisions for public parking

The public metered spaces and the parking lot would have a user fee and potentially a resident only street annual fee for nights and weekends, paid to the city.

The use of Parcel F as parking for the Washington Mills can stay in place until commencement of construction of the hotel. All fees are assumed to be paid to the city for this parcel.

5) Any anticipated off-site improvements (either public portions of the waterfront project area or adjacent public streets or utilities), their cost, and who would be responsible for funding these costs

We assume that the improvements will be built by the City, in accordance with the Horsley Whitten 75% Civil Drawings, dated May 2018 including all waterfront park improvements. We also assume the realignment of River Street and the internal street per the master plan will be built by the city including stubbing out of utilities.

6) Any other key information or anticipated term sheet items that the City should be aware of in the formation of its approach to negotiating with your team

None.

A brief narrative which discusses the most significant challenges facing this development as proposed and proposed means of addressing them

Other than 104 Washington Street, there has not been a sizable amount of development of the scale and nature of this proposed project in the City. This can pose a significant challenge in securing the capital required to execute a project of this size. Institutional capital sources are generally conservative by nature and look for direct comparable examples of successful projects in the marketplace.

We firmly believe that Dover has the necessary characteristics and amenities to create a strong and deep market demand that will enable our development plan to succeed. We recognize the growing appeal of smaller cities with unique urban environments and walkable amenities that are attracting resident and workers on both ends of the demographic spectrum; millennials to empty nesters. Being at the forefront of trends like this is one of the cornerstone philosophies of our firm and largely responsible for our success over our 25-year history. We have created a long history of successful projects in locations and neighborhoods that did not historically support similar projects. As was the case with 104 Washington Street, we anticipate utilizing our track record to attract investor and lender attention and to create a marketplace for institutional investment and assure the realization of our plan.

A letter, certified by the Board of Directors or other ultimate decision-making authority of the primary development entity documenting full knowledge and support of this specific proposal and its content

Please see letter on following page.



May 24, 2018

Cochecho Waterfront Development Advisory Committee City of Dover RFP Response 288 Central Avenue Dover, New Hampshire 03820-4169

Re: Cochecho Waterfront Development RFP

Dear Cochecho Waterfront Development Advisory Committee,

As the two sole principals of Cathartes, with full decision-making responsibility of the firm, we are pleased to submit our proposal for the development of the Cochecho Waterfront.

Best Regards,

Jeff Johnston Principal Cathartes

Jim Goldenberg

Principal Cathartes

COMMONWEALTH OF MassachuseHS COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

On this the <u>24</u> day of May, 2018, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared <u>left Johnston</u>, and <u>Jim Goldenbergas Priocipals</u> of <u>Cathor tes</u>, known to me or satisfactorily proven to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purposes therein.

Notary Public: ALVSON D. COPELAND Print Name **Notary Public** My Commission Expires: Massachusetts 11 Beacon Street Suite 1120 Boston MA | 02108

www.cathartes.com

Developer Qualifications and Financial Capacity

1) Financial Reports - Certified summary level financial reports for the prime development entity for the last three years (See section on Confidential Materials)

The prime development entity will be formed at the execution of the development agreement. If selected, we will provide in- personc onfidential financial reports confirming balance sheet lending capacity for this project. This financial review would be confidential.

2) Approach to Financing - Description of your approach to financing the project, including identification of specific financial sources committed, anticipated, or to be pursued for preconstruction, construction and permanent debt and equity, including the amount and source of equity

Each phase will be a separate ownership entity (LLC) and separately financed. The managing members of each entity will be the principals at Cathartes. We have worked with both major institutional equity partners and high net worth individuals, while establishing relationships with several leading lending institutions for debt. We pursue a financing strategy aimed at maintaining a flexible capital structure in the face of differing market conditions. Key components of our policy include:

Debt Strategy. We utilize two fundamental debt structures in our projects:

<u>Construction Financing</u>: We use floating rate construction facilities to develop assets until stabilized. A property is considered stabilized when it is 90% or more occupied. These facilities are generally paid off with the proceeds from the permanent financing of the developed assets once they are stabilized.

<u>Secured Permanent Debt</u>: We use long-term fixed rate mortgage financing to permanently finance our stabilized assets. Given the near high credit quality of much of our income and long-term nature of many of our leases we believe that this strategy enhances the stability of our cash flow.

Equity Strategy. Our equity strategy generally involves at least two equity parties: Ourselves as the developer and active partner, and the equity investor or mezzanine lender. The equity participants provide the 20-35% of the equity requirement sought by construction lenders financing residential and commercial development projects. In most projects, we utilize our own resource and our contacts of high net worth individuals to achieve this strategy. We also use short term, secured mezzanine financing in some cases.

Please feel free to contact the following references to discuss our track record of project delivery and lending relationships:

Kathy Hayes & Phil Cohen
People's United Bank
603-334-6705

Stephen Lawrence Camden National Bank 603-716-2033 Michael Kuhn Cambridge Savings Bank 617-234-7201 *3)* Commitment of Principals - Identify the level of financial commitment which the principals of the development entity will pledge to this project.

The principals will make a financial commitment of 20% - 100% of the total equity required in each phase. Recent examples of financial commitments: The principals are providing 100% of the equity required for the Orpheum Apartments project (i.e. no outside partners) and 35% of the equity requirements on the multi-phased Plymouth Station project.

4) Commitment of Institutions - Documentation from financial institutions willing to commit financing for this project as proposed herein, clearly citing any constraints, time sensitive terms and/or conditions of the financing.

See Addendum D.

- 5) Track Record Summary level narrative which details the prime developer's and project team's experience in successfully executing comparable mixed-use projects and provides supplemental information for original team members and complete information for new team members, including (Note, any of the following information already submitted in your response to the initial stage RFQ may be noted as such in this submittal. Any lengthy material should be presented at the end of the proposal):
 - a) Number of years the Proposer has been in business, number of employees, and the primary markets served;

Cathartes is a Boston-based private real estate firm with nearly one billion in investments across the Northeast. With every project, we strive to meet the evolving needs of an ever-changing society with purposeful spaces that promote smart living. We are dedicated to developing environments that bring together work-life balance, wellness, sustainability and community engagement.

Our projects range from a five-acre, 400,000 square foot mixed-use development that includes hotels, restaurants, and residences, to a transformed industrial space converted to a hive of creative commerce where business, arts and sciences come together, to one of the largest solar plants in Massachusetts that produces enough clean energy to power more than 600 homes.

Each project is chosen by relying on 25 years of extensive industry experience and a sophisticated knowledge of a broad range of property types, including mixed-use, multi-family, hotel, office, industrial, retail, and specialized technology. The result is over two decades of experience in revitalizing urban communities and improved quality of life for residents and businesses.

b) Description of proposing organization's history, legal structure and development experience, qualifications and understanding of the development requirements

Please see question 5.A. above.

- *c) Description of significant, comparable project(s) developed and/or managed including:*
 - name of project
 - *description of project*
 - total dollar value of project
 - client contact person and phone number for reference
 - specification of your firm's role in the project (e.g. primary developer, development manager, manager, consultant, etc.)
 - the results/status of the project and your involvement in the project

Cathartes was the lead sponsor and contact on the following projects, including all aspects of the development process; acquisitions, entitlements, financing, construction management and asset management:



ORPHEUM APARTMENTS

Proposed 130 Luxury Apartments Dover, New Hampshire Total Dollar Value: \$25,000,000

The site, known as the Robbins Block, is less than a half a mile from the Cochecho Waterfront property. During our first year of ownership, we have received full approvals, including a TIF, and have started construction. This mixed-use project is planned for 130 luxury apartments with ground level restaurant and retail space. We feel the market analysis and permitting experience will be transferable to the Cochecho project.



HARBORWALK AT PLYMOUTH STATION

300 unit Luxury Apartment Development Plymouth, Massachusetts Total Dollar Value: \$65,000,000

Harborwalk at Plymouth Station is located in Plymouth, Massachusetts and is being developed by Cathartes in a joint venture with Janco Development. Located directly on the shores of Cape Cod Bay, this 300 unit, four-story development will be a mixed-use, transient-oriented project located 1 mile north of downtown Plymouth. The project's community will include pocket parks, community areas, and a scenic roof deck. The project will also provide access to the waterfront and a connection to the town's hike and bike trails.



AC HOTEL

154 Room Hotel Development Portsmouth, New Hampshire Total Dollar Value: \$35,000,000

This 154 room hotel is located in Portsmouth, NH, and is being developed by Cathartes in a joint venture with XSS Hotels. Located just a few blocks from Portwalk Place, the AC Hotel will have dramatic views, a roof deck lounge, and event space. Cathartes is working with the City of Portsmouth to create a pedestrian gateway and North Mill Pond multi-use path that will connect the public to the waterfront.



MEZZO LOFTS
146 Luxury Loft Apartments

CHARLESTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE: \$50,000,000

Mezzo Lofts is located in Boston, MA and was developed by Cathartes in a joint venture with Magic Johnson's investment group, Canyon Johnson Investment Fund. As a transient-oriented development, Mezzo is adjacent to the Sullivan Square MBTA stop. This 146 unit, four-story apartment development was built in 2007 using an urban loft, open floor plan style. The lofts include several amenities including a shared courtyard, 24-hour fitness facility, community room and structured on-site parking for residents.



PORTWALK PLACE

400,000 Square Foot Mixed-Use Development PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE: \$200,000,000

Constructed on the five-acre site formerly known as the Parade Office Mall, Portwalk Place is a 400,000 square foot mixed-use development, located in downtown Portsmouth. Cathartes purchased this five-acre site in 2002 and developed the project in three phases. The project includes three select service hotels with a total of 379 rooms, 175 condominium and rental homes, 50,000 square feet of ground level commercial space and a parking facility. During the construction, we created a public/private partnership with the City to create a public parking facility. The project has increased real estate taxes from \$147,000 to over \$2,000,000 and has received many awards.



30 Unit Condominium over Ground Level Retail SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE: \$20,000,000

The 197 Union Square condominiums are located in Somerville, MA. During the permitting process, we formed a public/private partnership with Somerville Community Corporation which developed a separate affordable housing project on the combined site. This 30-unit condominium project, built in 2016, is designed to reflect the energy and style of the urban landscape with sleek, open floor plans. The building featured several amenities, including a solar lounge with skyline views, roof terrace, and ground level retail, which has been sold to a coffee shop. The project sold out in three months.

d) Information for team architect and any other members of the team should be provided. If not provided in response to RFQ, include graphic representations of architect's prior relevant work on completed mixed-use urban projects, along with a description of the projects and their role in these projects

Please see Addendum E for information regarding our urban planner, StreetWorks.

Please see below for information regarding our architect, Embarc (<u>www.embarcstudio.com</u>), who has worked with us on several projects, including the Orpheum Apartments project at 104 Washington Street in Dover.

As a team of skilled architects and innovative designers, Embarc sees architecture as more than just buildings. It is a collection of spaces that enrich the lives of those who occupy them and the harmony those spaces have with their surroundings. For examples of some of Embarc's projects, please see below: COCHECHO WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT - CATHARTES PROPOSAL



48 GOLD STREET



197 UNION SQUARE



6) Litigation

a) Description of any litigation, including arbitration, filed by or against Proposer in the past five (5) years involving any governmental entity, private client, employee or sub-contractor, providing the nature of the litigation, a brief description of the case, the outcome or projected outcome, and the monetary amounts involved

None.

b) Description of all litigation or disputes that could result in a financial settlement having a materially adverse effect on the ability to execute this project. This applies to the development entity or any named individual of the entity

None.

7) Bankruptcy - Description of all bankruptcy filings and foreclosure events associated with any project of this development entity or any principal of this entity.

None.

Minimum Requirements

Please note that the submission materials requested above are the minimum requirements. As CWDAC evaluates your proposal, you may be requested to provide additional materials.



Mergeid seess **Diagram**

snotibno J Britsix J

Street-Works







Connected Site - Step 2

Program

*Sq 012	rking Provided
T20 Keys	(үэ೫\sq⋶Г.) I9JO
	(1 car garage, 1 car on street)
stinU £2	(нт\ яг.с-а.т) гэтолим
stinU 26	Block E: 5 Stories
stinU 12	Block D: 5 Stories
stinU 07	Block B: 4 Stories
256 Units	(jinU\sqss.1) lsitn9bis e
50'200 2E	etail/Work/Live (1ps/1k sf)
4,000 SF	(ts אז/sdp) tuernetse

sq 24 tol viis sbulsni ton ssob *

leitnebizeЯ

Restaurant



5d 77

330 PS

380 b2**

letoH

Work/Live

proximity to downtown. proposed grading and to take advantage of the from the new waterfront park, work with the initial points and incorporates them to benefit great and viable destination. Our plan takes those principles and points necessary for creating a The city's original proposal for the site had the

core. public destination from the southern mixed-use the North by a public structure to give a visual and The new connection to the water is anchored to

reflect the desires of the original master plan. Townhomes are broken down into groups to

of downtown Dover. proposed mixed-use will anchor the eastern edge integration into the existing downtown. The closer to the entrance, reinforcing the new site's proposed grading and relocate the project's center the north-south road will accommodate the residential two-sided street is provided. Shifting A direct connection to the water via an intimate

count is maintained. oriented waterfront. The required public parking open space and slows traffic for a more pedestrian s'ytic water's edge. This maximizes the city's Perpendicular parking is exchanged for parallel

activated arrival. this gateway will take advantage of the newly Grouping the new bike share and bus stop around act together as a major gateway into the site. and the proposed adjacent hospitality site all esuoH qmu9 gniteixe existing Pump House Waters Street, the "waterfront" restaurant, the

mixed-use core of Dover. Britzixe base values to the water and existing hospitality site to touch the water and have a Re-adjusting River Street allows the proposed

(Central Ave, Washington St and the project site). mill buildings to tie the heart of Dover together water views and use the context of the existing the water. This will preserve the townhouse of the site, with density stepping down toward program have been located on the south-side The majority of the density and mixed-use



Development Parcels



Aerial View looking South



Program by Block



Bike Share Bus Stop

<u>120 Keys</u> 75 PS/ Key

Hotel Restaurant

21 Units/ Story 21 Units/ Story 22 Units above grade 21 Units @ grade 22 Units Unit 25 PS/ Unit 5 Stories total

21 Units/Story 20 Units/Story 20 Units@ grade 21 Units@ grade 22 PS/ Unit 70 PS/ Unit 25 Stories total Street-Works

T farite for the first of the state of the sta

View from Waters Street Bridge







Nixed-Use Zones of Dover



CONFIDENTIAL

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model

Cathartes

5/22/2018 City of Dover

Program Data

Mail Tack Bedicom Baths # Link Mail ESE RS2 RS4 LS32 S1244 S2279 S2270 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2276 S2279 S234 C2334 C2334 <thc3334< th=""> <thc33< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thc33<></thc3334<>													
Built Date Inter Consection Battor 0 Line 1 Hallis Mar 1 Mar 1 Hallis Mar 1 Mar 1 Hallis Mar 1 BSE 1 BSE 1 BASE 1													
Init Targe Bedresom Baths 4 Links Mag SES MES Earns MES Earns MES Earns SES SES<	Parcel E Residential - For Rent												
ninor One bedroom 0 1 1 1000 regroom 12 12 1000 regroom 12								Monthly	Rent/	Total	Tota		
Dire Berlandmin 1 1 31 30.0% 713 22.03 51.440 52.33 27.026 884.33 Two Berlandmin 2 2 31 30.0% 917 11.321 52.33 22.437 52.34 27.056 884.34 186.47.17 Transition 2 2 31 30.0% 10.0% 60.7 52.437 52.34 27.056 884.34 186.47.17 Transition Operating Projections Net Operating Projections	Unit Type	Bedrooms	Baths	<u># Units</u>	Mix	RSF	RSF	Rents	NRSF	Rent	Ren		
Junio Two Bedricom 2 1 11 10.0% 917 11.221 52.137 52.33 27.76 333.33 These Bedroom 3 2 5 5.06 1.023 6.375 52.24 75.51 956.60 Totals/Averages	Junior One Bedroom	0	1	14	15.0%	588	8,232	\$1,641	\$2.79	\$22,967	\$275,607		
No. Betromm 2 2 31 30.% 1.088 33.78 52.437 52.437 52.514 75.513 906.64 Tribel Averages 3 2 5 100% 867 52.159 52.139 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.149 51.96,962 52.94.10,57 52.93,912 52.349,126 72.05,93 52.94.10,57 52.93,913 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.12,139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.139 52.13	One Bedroom	1	1	31	33.0%	713	22,103	\$1,840	\$2.58	57,026	684,309		
Three Redition 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 5,2,79 5.1.4 1,6,671 Totals/Average 95 100% 867 82,359 2,073 52,39 5106,502 52,355,95 Pracel Fibrel Deprating Projections Operating Projections Reserve Reserve <threserve< th=""> Reserve <threserv< td=""><td>Junior Two Bedroom</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>13</td><td>14.0%</td><td>917</td><td>11,921</td><td>\$2,137</td><td>\$2.33</td><td>27,776</td><td>333,311</td></threserv<></threserve<>	Junior Two Bedroom	2	1	13	14.0%	917	11,921	\$2,137	\$2.33	27,776	333,311		
Instal Averages 95 100% 867 82,359 2,073 52.35 556,962 52,063,05 Parcel I Hotel Assumption Operating Projections nom Total Departmental Gross Operating Net Operating Projections Number of Hotel Rooms 120 Year Room Total Departmental Gross Operating Net Operating Projections State Occurpancy 27,0% Salad Operating Projections Room Total Departmental Gross Operating Net Operating Projections State Occurpancy 27,0% Salad	Two Bedroom	2	2	31	33.0%	1,088	33,728	\$2,437	\$2.24	75,551	906,609		
Phase 2 Parcel I Note! Operating Projections Nonber of Mycle Rooms Descriptions Nonber of Mycle Rooms Net Operating Projections Number of Mycle Rooms 1.00 Year Room Room Total Departmental Gross Operating Profit Ison Gross Building Area 53.00 2020 51.40 63.00 53.00 2020 51.00 70.07 53.43 53.49.0./07 53.13 53.13.07 53.23 53.03.61 53.27.57.44 53.127.2	Three Bedroom	3	2	5	5.0%	1,275	6,375	\$2,729	\$2.14	13,643	163,710		
Parcel Hotel Operating Projections Operating Projections Notice of Hotel Rooms Total Departmental (cross Operating Net Operating ADB Occupano) Prote Departmental (cross Operating Net Operating Ne	Totals/Averages			95	100%	867	82,359	2,073	\$2.39	\$196,962	\$2,363,546		
Parcel Hotel Operating Projections Operating Projections Notice of Hotel Rooms Total Departmental (cross Operating Net Operating ADB Occupano) Prote Departmental (cross Operating Net Operating Ne	Dhaco 2												
Number of Notel Rooms 120 Year Room Total Departmental forces Operating Net Operating Average Lahy Rite \$1400 2020 \$1401 Revenue													
Number of stocki Rooms 120 Year Room Total Departmental forces Operating Net Operating Average Johy Rate \$64,00 2020 \$1440 Revenue	Assumptions		C	Operating Pro	iections								
Gross Building Area Arrange Daily Arrange Daily A		120	Ť		cetions		Room	Total	Departmental	Gross Operating	Net Operating		
Average Daily faste S140 TOZD 5 144 65.0% S3.98.80 S4.28.318 S1.72.1.4 Stable Occupant 75.0% 2022 5150 75.0% S4.28.318 S1.72.1.4 S1.72.1.4 Stable Occupant 55.0% 2022 5150 75.0% S4.28.27.0% S1.38.36.31 S2.519.744 S2.127.7 Stable Occupant 38.0% 2022 5150 75.0% S4.927.500 S5.99.432 S3.63.63.1 S2.519.744 S2.127.7 NOI Ratio 38.0% 2024 5150 75.0% S4.927.500 S5.99.432 S3.63.63.1 S2.519.744 S2.127.7 NOI Ratio 38.0% 2024 5150 75.0% S4.927.150 S5.99.432 S3.63.63.1 S2.519.744 S2.127.7 Montherwer W 28.0% 2024 5150 75.0% S4.927.500 S5.99.432 S3.63.63.1 S2.519.74 S2.127.7 Montherwer W 28.0% 1 NM. Leasing Italing inclusing Italing inclusing Italing inclusing S2.127.7 Montherwer W Rent/SE Comm. Here						Occurancy			•				
Stabile Cocupancy 75.0% 2021 51.45 70.0% 54.64.57.00 55.09.432 53.283.76 52.273.369 51.919.72 GOP Flatio 45.0% 2023 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 52.519.744 52.2127.7 Room Revenue % 88% 2023 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 52.519.744 52.127.77 Room Revenue % 88% 2023 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 52.519.744 52.127.77 Room Revenue % 88% 2023 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 52.519.744 52.127.77 Room Revenue % 88% 2000 000 500 500.000 52.5 50 510.500 52.5 50 510.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 510.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.000 500.0000	÷												
Departmental Profit 65.0% 2022 5150 75.0% 54.997.300 55.994.32 53.039.631 92.597.74 52.227.7 NOI Ratio 38.0% 2024 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.039.631 92.597.744 52.227.7 NOI Ratio 38.0% 2024 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 92.597.744 52.227.7 Rom Revenue % 38.0% 2024 5150 75.0% 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 92.519.744 52.227.7 Procel A Restourant Emmition Emmition Emmition 54.927.500 55.994.32 53.639.631 92.519.744 52.227.7 Procel A Restourant Emmition Emmition Emmition Emmition 55.994.32 53.639.631 92.519.744 52.127.7 Procel A Restourant Emmition Easing Initial Ti Standal Standal Standal Standal Standal Standal Standal Standal Standal <td></td>													
GOP Pathon 45,0% 38,0% 2023 5150 75,0% 54,927,500 55,399,42 53,839,631 52,519,744 52,127,78 Room Revenue % 38,0% 2023 5150 75,0% 54,927,500 55,399,421 53,839,631 52,519,744 52,127,78 Room Revenue % 38,0% 38,0% 55,599,422 53,839,631 52,519,744 52,127,78 Phase 3 Parcel A Restaurant Easter NNN Leasing Leasing Initial TI TI NNN Ann Rentariant Rentariant Rentariant Rentariant Sis	Stable Occupancy			2021	Ş145		\$4,445,700	\$5,051,932	\$3,283,756	\$2,273,369	\$1,919,734		
NOI Ratio 38.0% 2024 \$150 75.0% \$4,927,500 \$5,599,422 \$3,639,631 \$2,519,744 \$2,127,78 Phase 3 Procel A Restaurant Rentable Acro 3 Term 8 NINN Leasing 1000 Italia Ti Ti Ti NIN Acro 3 Restaurant Rentable Acro 3 Term 8 NINN Leasing 5 Leasing 5 Statia	Departmental Profit	65.0%		2022	\$150	75.0%	\$4,927,500	\$5,599,432	\$3,639,631	\$2,519,744	\$2,127,784		
Room Revenue % BB% Phase 3 Parcel A Restaurant 1 Rentable Area 1 NNN 1 Non 1 S25 1 Non 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>GOP Ratio</td><td>45.0%</td><td></td><td>2023</td><td>\$150</td><td>75.0%</td><td>\$4,927,500</td><td>\$5,599,432</td><td>\$3,639,631</td><td>\$2,519,744</td><td>\$2,127,784</td></t<>	GOP Ratio	45.0%		2023	\$150	75.0%	\$4,927,500	\$5,599,432	\$3,639,631	\$2,519,744	\$2,127,784		
Room Revenue % B3% Phase 3 Parcel A Restaurant Rentable Area Term NNN Leasing Initial Ti Ti NNN Ann Tanant Rentable Area Term Rent/S Som Revenue % So	NOI Ratio	38.0%		2024	\$150	75.0%	\$4,927,500	\$5,599,432	\$3,639,631	\$2,519,744	\$2,127,784		
Parcel A Restaurant Lease NNN Leasing Leasing Initial Ti Ti NNN Ann Temants Rentable Area Term Rentable Area Term So							1 /2 /22		1-,,	1 //	1, , , -		
Lease Rentable Area A000 Term Term New A000 Term S25 Leasing Comm. New S38 Leasing S4 Initial S50 Ti New S50 New S50 Renew S50	Phase 3												
Tenant Rentable Area Term RentySp Comm. New Y Comm. Renew Y II New Y Renew Y S0 S100,00 Totals/Averages 4,000 525 S8 S4 S50 S25 S0 S100,00 Phase 4 Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise NEW Renty Totals/Averages NEW Fenty Totals/Average NEW Exercise NEW S275 S100,00 Phase 4 Exercise Exercise NEW Renty Totals/Average NEW Renty Totals/Average NEW NEW NEW S275 S18,046 S216,54 Unitor Vo Bedroom 1 1 15,0% S88 6,468 S1,641 S2.79 S18,046 S216,55 One Bedroom 2 1 10 14,0% S17 S12,39 S146,452 S1,617 643,44 Totals/Averages - 70 100% 872 61,073 S2,23 S146,452	Parcel A Restaurant												
Tenant Rentable Area Term RentySp Comm. New Y Comm. Renew Y II New Y Renew Y S0 S100,00 Totals/Averages 4,000 525 S8 S4 S50 S25 S0 S100,00 Phase 4 Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise NEW Renty Totals/Averages NEW Fenty Totals/Average NEW Exercise NEW S275 S100,00 Phase 4 Exercise Exercise NEW Renty Totals/Average NEW Renty Totals/Average NEW NEW NEW S275 S18,046 S216,54 Unitor Vo Bedroom 1 1 15,0% S88 6,468 S1,641 S2.79 S18,046 S216,55 One Bedroom 2 1 10 14,0% S17 S12,39 S146,452 S1,617 643,44 Totals/Averages - 70 100% 872 61,073 S2,23 S146,452			Looso	NNN		Loosing	Loosing	Initial	ті	ті			
Restaurant 4,000 10 525 58 54 550 525 50 \$100,00 Ortals/Averages 4,000 525 50 525 50 500,00 Phase 4 Monthly Rent/ Total Total Total Dunk Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix BSE BSE Bear NBSE Fent/ Total Procession Junicr One Bedroom 0 1 11 15.0% 588 6.468 51.641 52.79 518.046 52.75.8 Junicr One Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9.170 52.137 52.23 21.366 256.33 Unicr Woe Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9.170 52.137 52.23 51.461 52.79 52.137 52.33 21.366 25.58 Phase 5 70 100% 872 61,073 2.089 52.39	Tanant	Dontoblo Aroo				-	-						
Totals/Averages 4,000 525 S100,00 Phase 4 Parcel B Residential - For Rent Parcel B Residential - For Rent Total I Unit Tyze Redrooms Raths # Units Mix BSE Rent Rent/ Total Unit Tyze Redrooms 0 1 11 15,0% 588 6,468 51,641 52,29 518,046 5216,57 One Bedroom 2 1 10 40,0% 917 9,170 52,137 52.33 21,366 226,52,57 Unit Tyze 2 2 22,30,0% 10,78 22,935 52,437 52.24 53,617 643,41 Thoe Bedroom 2 1 000% 872 61,073 2,089 52.39 5146,252 51,755,07 Phase S Parcel D Residential - For Rent Init Total Total Monthly Rent/ Monthly Rent Rent Monthly Andit A											<u>Ren</u> \$100,000		
Phase 4 Phase 4 Phase 4 Phase 4 Phase 4 Phase 5 Parcel B Residential - For Rent Unit Type Bedroom 0 1 1 1 50% S88 6,468 S1,641 S2.79 S18,046 S216,57 One Bedroom 1 1 1 23 33,0% 713 16,399 S1,840 S2.58 42,300 S07,7 9,170 S2,33 21,366 2533 710 06,872 51,00 S2,729 S2.14 10,914 100,94 70 70 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 52.39 S146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit S88 8,232 S1,641 S2.79 S2,967 S27,56 73 3,24 73 13 16,307 S13,840 S2,58 S3,347 640,1,1 1 12 9 30,0% 713 20,677 S1,840 S2,58 S3,347 640,1 70 One Bedroom 2 1 1 2 9 30,0% 1,088 32,640 S2,437 S2.24 73,114 877,33 740 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Sale Parcel P			10			ŶŬ	ŶŢ	çse	<i>423</i>	ψŪ	. ,		
Parcel B Residential - For Rent Monthly Rent/ Total To Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF Rents NRSF Rent R Junior One Bedroom 0 1 11 15.0% \$\$88 6,468 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$18,046 \$216,55 One Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% \$917 \$9,170 \$2,137 \$2.33 \$2,366 \$26,517 Junior Two Bedroom 2 2 20 \$3,0% \$1,088 \$2,393 \$\$2,437 \$2,243 \$3,617 643,47 Two Bedroom 3 2 4 \$0.0% \$1,775 \$5,100 \$2,729 \$2,14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 1000% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2,29 \$146,252 \$1,755,07 Phase 5 Procel D Residential - For Rent Unit Total Total Total Total No Junior One Bedroom 0	Totals/Averages	4,000		\$25							\$100,000		
Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF RSF Rents MRSF Rent Rent Rs Junior One Bedroom 0 1 11 15.0% 588 6,468 51,641 52.79 518,046 5216,54 One Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9,170 52,137 52.33 21,366 255.33 Junior Two Bedroom 2 2 23.0% 1,088 23.936 52.437 52.24 10,914 130.96 Two Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 52.729 52.14 10,914 130.96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755.02 Phase 5 P P Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF Rent Mix RSF Rent Mix No Paires Paires Paires Paires Paires	Phase 4												
Maik Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF RSF Renfs NRSF Renfs NRSF Renfs State State <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1				_								
Unior One Bedroom 0 1 11 15.0% 588 6,468 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$18,046 \$216,54 One Bedroom 1 1 23 33.0% 713 16,399 \$1,840 \$2.58 42,309 507,71 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9,170 \$2,137 \$52.33 21,366 256.33 Two Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 \$2,729 \$2.14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Vinit Total Ninit Rent/ Monthly Ann A	Parcel B Residential - For Rent												
One Bedroom 1 1 23 33.0% 713 16,399 \$1,840 \$2.58 42,309 507,77 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9,170 \$2,137 \$2.28 21,366 256,33 12,366 256,33 12,366 266,37 Two Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 \$2,729 \$2.14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Visit Total Total Total Total Total Total Monthly Total Total Monthly Total Total Monthly Total Total Monthly Total Total Total Monthly Total Monthly Total Total Monthly Total Total Monthly Total Monthly Total Monthly Total Monthly Monthly Total		Bedrooms	Baths	# Units	Mix	RSF	RSF	•	-		Tota		
Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 10 14.0% 917 9,170 \$2,137 \$2,33 21,366 256,35 Two Bedroom 2 2 2 2 3.0% 1,088 23,936 \$2,437 \$2,24 \$5,617 643,40 Three Bedroom 3 2 4 \$5.0% 1,275 \$5,100 \$2,729 \$2.14 10,914 130,99 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Vinit Total Unit Monthly Total Total Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF Rents NRSF Rent Re Unior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,66 One Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 <td></td> <td><u>Bedrooms</u></td> <td><u>Baths</u></td> <td><u># Units</u></td> <td>Mix</td> <td><u>RSF</u></td> <td>RSF</td> <td>•</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		<u>Bedrooms</u>	<u>Baths</u>	<u># Units</u>	Mix	<u>RSF</u>	RSF	•	-				
Two Bedroom 2 2 2 33 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 52,437 52,24 53,617 643,40 Three Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 52,729 52.14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Wonthly Total Monthly Annu Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF Rents NRSF Rent Rent Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,66 One Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 33.33 Three Bedroom 2 1 33.0% 713 2,0675 \$2,247 \$2.24 73,144 <	<u>Unit Type</u>							Rents	NRSF	<u>Rent</u>			
Two Bedroom 2 2 2 33 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 52,437 52,24 53,617 643,40 Three Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 52,729 52.14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,02 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Wonthly Total Monthly Annu Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF Rents NRSF Rent Rent Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,66 One Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 33.33 Three Bedroom 2 1 33.0% 713 2,0675 \$2,247 \$2.24 73,144 <	<u>Unit Type</u> Junior One Bedroom	0	1	11	15.0%	588	6,468	<u>Rents</u> \$1,641	<u>NRSF</u> \$2.79	<u>Rent</u> \$18,046	Ren		
Three Bedroom 3 2 4 5.0% 1,275 5,100 \$2,729 \$2.14 10,914 130,96 Totals/Averages 70 100% 872 61,073 2,089 \$2.39 \$146,252 \$1,755,00 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Total Init Rent/ Monthly Total To Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix BSF Rest NBSF Rent/ Monthly Annu Unior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$23.3 \$2,7776 333.33 1008 32,640 \$2,437 \$2,33 \$2,7776 333.33 1008 32,640 \$2,239 \$190,846 \$2,2907 \$2.33 \$2,7776 333.33 107,37 \$1,3643 163,77 \$2,39 \$190,846 \$2,290,97 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,97 \$2.39	<u>Unit Type</u> Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom	0 1	1 1	11 23	15.0% 33.0%	588 713	6,468 16,399	<u>Rents</u> \$1,641 \$1,840	<u>NRSF</u> \$2.79 \$2.58	<u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309	<u>Ren</u> \$216,549 507,713		
Phase 5 Monthly Total Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix Rents MSEE Rent Rent Junior Two Bedroom 1 <th 2"<="" colspan="2" td=""><td><u>Unit Type</u> Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom</td><td>0 1 2</td><td>1 1 1</td><td>11 23 10</td><td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0%</td><td>588 713 917</td><td>6,468 16,399 9,170</td><td><u>Rents</u> \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137</td><td><u>NRSF</u> \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33</td><td><u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366</td><td><u>Ren</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393</td></th>	<td><u>Unit Type</u> Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom</td> <td>0 1 2</td> <td>1 1 1</td> <td>11 23 10</td> <td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0%</td> <td>588 713 917</td> <td>6,468 16,399 9,170</td> <td><u>Rents</u> \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137</td> <td><u>NRSF</u> \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33</td> <td><u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366</td> <td><u>Ren</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393</td>		<u>Unit Type</u> Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom	0 1 2	1 1 1	11 23 10	15.0% 33.0% 14.0%	588 713 917	6,468 16,399 9,170	<u>Rents</u> \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137	<u>NRSF</u> \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33	<u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366	<u>Ren</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393
Phase 5 Monthly Total Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix Rents MSEE Rent Rent Junior Two Bedroom 1 <th 2"<="" colspan="2" td=""><td>Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom</td><td>0 1 2 2</td><td>1 1 1 2</td><td>11 23 10 22</td><td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0%</td><td>588 713 917 1,088</td><td>6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936</td><td>Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437</td><td>NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24</td><td><u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617</td><td><u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400</td></th>	<td>Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom</td> <td>0 1 2 2</td> <td>1 1 1 2</td> <td>11 23 10 22</td> <td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0%</td> <td>588 713 917 1,088</td> <td>6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936</td> <td>Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437</td> <td>NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24</td> <td><u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617</td> <td><u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400</td>		Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0%	588 713 917 1,088	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437	NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24	<u>Rent</u> \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617	<u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400
Parcel D Residential - For Rent Monthly Total To Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF RSF Rents NRSF Rent Rg Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,60 One Bedroom 1 1 29 33.0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 53,347 640,11 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 333,331 Two Bedroom 2 2 30.30% 1,088 32,640 \$2,247 73,114 877,37 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,79 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Phase 6 Pricel C Residential - For Sale Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sal Unit Type Bedrooms Baths </td <td>Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom</td> <td>0 1 2 2</td> <td>1 1 1 2</td> <td>11 23 10 22 4</td> <td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%</td> <td>588 713 917 1,088 1,275</td> <td>6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100</td> <td>Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729</td> <td>NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14</td> <td>Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914</td> <td><u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968</td>	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914	<u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968		
Monthly Total Total Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit Total Unit Rent/ Monthly Annu Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF RSF Rents NRSF Rent Monthly Annu Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,60 One Bedroom 1 1 29 33.0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 53,347 640,16 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14,0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 333,331 Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,37 Tow Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914	<u>Ren</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968		
Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Unit Mix RSF Total Unit Rent/ Monthly Annu Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,60 0 \$275,60 0 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$275,60 0 \$22,967 \$22,967 \$275,60 \$33,33 \$3,0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 \$53,347 640,16 \$33,33 \$30,6% \$1,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 \$33,333 \$33,33 \$1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,36 \$163,71 Totals/Averages 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,36 \$163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,19 \$163,71 Unit Type Phase 6 877	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914	<u>Rent</u> \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400		
Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix RSF RSF Rents NRSF Rent	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252	Reni \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023		
Junior One Bedroom 0 1 14 15.0% 588 8,232 \$1,641 \$2.79 \$22,967 \$275,60 One Bedroom 1 1 29 33.0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 53,347 640,16 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.233 27,776 333,33 Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,371 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sal Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SE SE Sale Price Per SF Sales Sales Sales Sales Sales Sales Sales Sales	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5	0 1 2 2	1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total	Ren: \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023		
One Bedroom 1 1 29 33.0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 53,347 640,16 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 333,33 Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,36 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,729 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent	0 1 2 2 3	1 1 1 2 2	11 23 10 22 4 70	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 \$2.39 Rent/	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly	Ren: \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua		
One Bedroom 1 1 29 33.0% 713 20,677 \$1,840 \$2.58 53,347 640,16 Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 333,33 Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,36 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,729 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent	0 1 2 2 3	1 1 1 2 2	11 23 10 22 4 70	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 \$2.39 Rent/	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023		
Junior Two Bedroom 2 1 13 14.0% 917 11,921 \$2,137 \$2.33 27,776 333,31 Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,36 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,799 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 V 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 V Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 V Totals/Averages Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF SE Sale Price Gross Net Sal Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF SE Sale Price Per SF	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u>	1 1 2 2 Baths	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u>	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u>	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren		
Two Bedroom 2 2 30 33.0% 1,088 32,640 \$2,437 \$2.24 73,114 877,364 Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,729 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sale Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF SF Sale Price Per SF Sales Sales </td <td>Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom</td> <td>0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0</td> <td>1 1 2 2 Baths 1</td> <td>11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14</td> <td>15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100%</td> <td>588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588</td> <td>6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232</td> <td>Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641</td> <td>NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79</td> <td>Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967</td> <td>Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607</td>	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0	1 1 2 2 Baths 1	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607		
Three Bedroom 3 2 5 5.0% 1,275 6,375 \$2,729 \$2.14 13,643 163,71 Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale To Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sale Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SE SE Sale Price Per SF Sales	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1	1 1 2 2 <u>Baths</u> 1 1	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% <u>Mix</u> 15.0% 33.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.79 \$2.79 \$2.79	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160		
Totals/Averages 91 100% 877 79,845 2,097 \$2.39 \$190,846 \$2,290,15 Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale To Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sale Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF Sale Price Per SF Sales	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 Bedrooms 0 1 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 15 .0% 33.0% 14.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.79 \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311		
Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sal Unit Type Bedrooms Baths #Units Mix SF SE Sale Price Per SF Sales Two Bedroom Townhomes 2 2.5 32 60.0% 1,620 51,840 \$379,080 \$234 \$12,130,560 \$11,281,42 Three Bedroom Townhomes 3 2.5 21 40.0% 1,980 41,580 \$465,300 \$235 \$9,771,300 \$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 15 .0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,729 2,089 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,137 \$2,137 \$2,437	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.24	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363		
Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sale Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF SF Sale Price Per SF Sales Sales Stales	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 15 .0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,729 2,089 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,137 \$2,137 \$2,437	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.24	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363		
To Unit Total Unit Sale Price Gross Net Sal Unit Type <u>Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF</u> <u>SE Sale Price</u> <u>Per SF</u> <u>Sales</u> Two Bedroom Townhomes 2 2.5 32 60.0% 1,620 51,840 \$379,080 \$234 \$12,130,560 \$11,281,42 Three Bedroom Townhomes 3 2.5 21 40.0% 1,980 41,580 \$465,300 \$235 \$9,771,300 \$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 3 .0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,089	NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua		
Unit TypeBedroomsBaths# UnitsMixSFSFSale PricePer SFSalesSetSalesTwo Bedroom Townhomes22.53260.0%1,62051,840\$379,080\$234\$12,130,560\$11,281,42Three Bedroom Townhomes32.52140.0%1,98041,580\$465,300\$235\$9,771,300\$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 3 .0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,089	NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710		
Unit Type Bedrooms Baths # Units Mix SF SF Sale Price Per SF Sales Sales Sales Strate Two Bedroom Townhomes 2 2.5 32 60.0% 1,620 51,840 \$379,080 \$234 \$12,130,560 \$11,281,42 Three Bedroom Townhomes 3 2.5 21 40.0% 1,980 41,580 \$465,300 \$235 \$9,771,300 \$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 3 .0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088 1,275	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,089	NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710		
Two Bedroom Townhomes 2 2.5 32 60.0% 1,620 51,840 \$379,080 \$234 \$12,130,560 \$11,281,42 Three Bedroom Townhomes 3 2.5 21 40.0% 1,980 41,580 \$465,300 \$235 \$9,771,300 \$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 <u>Bedrooms</u> 0 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 3 .0% 33.0% 5.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 00111 877 1,088 1,275 877	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375 79,845	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,437 \$2,437 \$2,729 2,097	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.33 \$2.49	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643 \$190,846	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710 \$2,290,152		
Three Bedroom Townhomes 3 2.5 21 40.0% 1,980 41,580 \$465,300 \$235 \$9,771,300 \$9,087,30	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom	0 1 2 3 3 Bedrooms 0 1 2 2 3	1 1 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2 2	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5 91	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 15.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 00111 875 588 713 917 1,088 1,275 877 877	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total RSF 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375 79,845	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,089 2,097 Unit	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.14 \$2.39 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.33 \$2.33 \$2.39 \$2.33 \$2.34 \$2.39	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643 \$190,846	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710 \$2,290,152		
	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale Unit Type	0 1 2 3 3 3 Bedrooms 0 1 2 2 3 3	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2 2 Baths	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5 91 # Units	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 000000000000000000000000000000000000	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375 79,845 79,845	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,2729 2,089 Monthly Unit \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,239 2,089 Unit \$2,437 \$2,729 2,037 \$2,037 2,097 Unit Sale Price	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.14 \$2.39	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643 \$190,846 Gross Sales	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710 \$2,290,152 \$2,290,152 Tota Net Sale 79		
	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 6 Parcel C Residential - For Sale Unit Type	0 1 2 3 3 3 Bedrooms 0 1 2 2 3 3	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2 2 Baths	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5 91 # Units	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 000000000000000000000000000000000000	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375 79,845 79,845	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,2729 2,089 Monthly Unit \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,239 2,089 Unit \$2,437 \$2,729 2,037 \$2,037 2,097 Unit Sale Price	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.14 \$2.39	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643 \$190,846 Gross Sales	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710 \$2,290,152 \$2,290,152 Tota Net Sale 79		
Totals/Averages 53 100% 1,763 93,420 413,243 \$234.45 \$21,901,860 \$20,368,73	Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroom Totals/Averages Phase 5 Parcel D Residential - For Rent Unit Type Junior One Bedroom One Bedroom Junior Two Bedroom Two Bedroom Three Bedroo	0 1 2 3 3 Bedrooms 0 1 2 2 3 3	1 1 2 2 2 Baths 1 1 1 2 2 2 Baths 2.5	11 23 10 22 4 70 # Units 14 29 13 30 5 91 # Units # Units 32	15.0% 33.0% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 14.0% 33.0% 5.0% 100% 100% 60.0%	588 713 917 1,088 1,275 872 Unit <u>RSF</u> 588 713 917 1,088 1,275 877 877 Unit <u>SF</u> 1,020	6,468 16,399 9,170 23,936 5,100 61,073 Total <u>RSF</u> 8,232 20,677 11,921 32,640 6,375 79,845 79,845	Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,237 \$2,729 2,089 Monthly Unit Rents \$1,641 \$1,840 \$2,137 \$2,279 2,097 2,097 Unit Sale Price \$379,080	NRSE \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 Rent/ NRSF \$2.79 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.14 \$2.39 \$2.39 \$2.58 \$2.33 \$2.24 \$2.14 \$2.39 \$2.39 Sale Price Per SF \$234	Rent \$18,046 42,309 21,366 53,617 10,914 \$146,252 Total Monthly Rent \$22,967 53,347 27,776 73,114 13,643 \$190,846 Gross Sales \$12,130,560	Ren \$216,549 507,713 256,393 643,400 130,968 \$1,755,023 Tota Annua Ren \$275,607 640,160 333,311 877,363 163,710 \$2,290,152		
Cathartes



5/22/2018 City of Dover

CONFIDENTIAL

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model

Cathartes

5/22/2018 City of Dover

Sources and Uses of Funds

Assumptions	Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Phase 4		Phase 5		Phase 6		Totals	
Number of Units	95		120		1		70		91		53			
Net Rentable Area	82,359				4,000		61,073		79,845		93,420			
Efficiency	85%				100%		85%		85%		100%			
Gross Building Area	96,893		68,500		4,000		71,851		93,935		93,420			
Construction Cost / Unit	\$175,000		\$150,000		\$500,000		\$175,000		\$175,000		\$225,000			
Construction Cost / SF GBA	\$172		\$263		\$195		\$170		\$170		\$128			
Soft Cost and Other % of Hard Cost	25%		25%		25%		25%		25%		25%			
Sources		%		%		%		%		%		%		%
Construction loan	\$13,816,563	65.0%	\$13,860,000	60.0%	\$685,750	65.0%	\$10,271,625	65.0%	\$13,382,688	65.0%	\$10,205,813	65.0%	\$62,222,438	63.8%
Equity	\$7,439,688	<u>35.0%</u>	\$9,240,000	40.0%	\$369,250	35.0%	<u>\$5,530,875</u>	35.0%	\$7,206,063	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>\$5,495,438</u>	35.0%	\$35,281,313	36.2%
Total Sources of Funds	\$21,256,250	100.0%	\$23,100,000	100.0%	\$1,055,000	100.0%	\$15,802,500	100.0%	\$20,588,750	100.0%	\$15,701,250	100.0%	\$97,503,750	100.0%
Uses of Funds														
Land	\$475,000	2.2%	\$600,000	2.6%	\$80,000	7.6%	\$490,000	3.1%	\$682,500	3.3%	\$795,000	5.1%	\$3,122,500	3.2%
Hard Costs	16,625,000	78.2%	18,000,000	77.9%	780,000	73.9%	12,250,000	77.5%	15,925,000	77.3%	11,925,000	75.9%	75,505,000	77.4%
Soft Costs and Other	4,156,250	<u>19.6%</u>	4,500,000	19.5%	<u>195,000</u>	18.5%	3,062,500	19.4%	<u>3,981,250</u>	<u>19.3%</u>	<u>2,981,250</u>	19.0%	<u>18,876,250</u>	19.4%
Total Uses of funds	\$21,256,250	100.0%	\$23,100,000	100.0%	\$1,055,000	100.0%	\$15,802,500	100.0%	\$20,588,750	100.0%	\$15,701,250	100.0%	\$97,503,750	100.0%
	-													
Estimated Annual RE Taxes	\$237,500		221,937		16,000		175,000		227,500		424,000		1,301,937	

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model	

(21,520,000) (18,986,875) (41,295,625)

596,609

0

1,695,790

Cocheco Waterfront Cathartes	•											Cit	y of Dover
Combined Annual Cash Flow		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Dec-19	Dec-20	Dec-21	Dec-22	Dec-23	Dec-24	Dec-25	Dec-26	Dec-27	Dec-28	Dec-29	Dec-30
Phase 1 - Residential Rental	Phase 1 Construction	(21,256,250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 1 Operations	0	596,609	1,612,890	1,661,277	1,711,115	1,762,449	1,815,322	1,869,782	1,925,875	1,983,652	2,043,161	2,043,161
Phase 2 - Hotel	Phase 2 Construction	0	0	(23,100,000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 2 Operations	0	0	0	0	1,721,141	1,924,994	2,127,784	2,127,784	2,127,784	2,197,622	2,257,366	2,325,087
Phase 3 - Restaurant	Phase 3 Construction	(263,750)	(791,250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 3 Operations	0	0	82,900	82,537	82,163	81,778	81,381	90,473	90,052	89,619	89,172	88,712
Phase 4 - Residential Rental	Phase 4 Construction	0	(7,901,250)	(7,901,250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 4 Operations	0	0	0	629,837	1,296,286	1,335,175	1,375,230	1,416,487	1,458,981	1,502,751	1,547,833	1,594,268
Phase 5 - Residential Rental	Phase 5 Construction	0	(10,294,375)	(10,294,375)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 5 Operations	0	0	0	603,006	1,750,349	1,802,859	1,856,945	1,912,654	1,970,033	2,029,134	2,090,008	2,152,708
Phase 6 - Residential Sale	Phase 6 Construction	0	0	0	(15,701,250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phase 6 Sellout	0	0	0	0	15,773,885	4,616,747	0	0	0	0	0	0

0

22,334,939

0

11,524,001

0

7,256,663

0

7,417,179

0

7,572,726

0

7,802,777

0

8,203,937

0

8,027,541

(15,701,250)

2,976,657

Cathartes

Total Development Costs

Total Cash Flow

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model 5/22/2018 Cathartes

City of Dover

Stabilized Operating Budget - Phase 1 <u>2019</u>

			Total Units Rentable Area	95 unit 82,359 net	
Residential Pro Forma			Stabilized <u>Pro Forma</u>	<u>Per Unit</u>	Per <u>Net SF</u>
Income Potential Gross Residential Rent			\$2,363,546	\$24,879	\$2.39
Other income	95 Units	\$30.00 per unit/mo.	34,200	360 sz4,879	\$2.59 0.42
Potential Gross Income	95 OIIIts	330.00 per unit/mo.	\$2,397,746	\$25,239	\$29.11
Vacancy	5.00%		(119,887)	(1,262)	(1.46)
Effective Gross Income			\$2,277,859	\$23,977	\$27.66
Operating Expenses					
Adminsitrative			\$211,280	\$2,224	\$2.57
Marketing			44,555	469	0.54
Utilities			33,250	350	0.40
Maintenance			209,000	2,200	2.54
Real Estate Tax			237,500	2,500	2.88
Insurance			28,500	300	0.35
Total Expenses			\$764,085	\$8,043	\$9.28
Net Operating Income			\$1,513,774	\$15,934	\$18.38
Replacement Reserve	\$300 /unit		<u>\$28,500</u>	<u>\$300</u>	<u>\$0.35</u>
Net Income			\$1,485,274	\$15,634	\$18.03

Cathartes

Lease Up Operating Proforma Phase 1

Annual Revenue Growth	3.00%													
Annual Expense Growth	3.00%	12/1/2019	1/1/2020	2/1/2020	3/1/2020	4/1/2020	5/1/2020	6/1/2020	7/1/2020	8/1/2020	9/1/2020	10/1/2020	11/1/2020	2020 First Year
Description		MONTH 1	MONTH 2	MONTH 3	MONTH 4	MONTH 5	MONTH 6	MONTH 7	MONTH 8	MONTH 9	MONTH 10	MONTH 11	MONTH 12	Total
Units Complete		95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
Units Leased		20	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5	95
Leased Percentage		21%	28%	36%	43%	51%	58%	65%	73%	80%	87%	95%	100%	100%
Revenues														
Potential Gross Income		\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$189,822	\$2,277,859
Leaseup Vacancy		(149,859)	(135,872)	(121,885)	(107,899)	(93,912)	(79,925)	(65,938)	(51,951)	(37,964)	(23,977)	(9,991)	0	(879,174)
General Vacancy	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(9,491)	(9,491)
Total Revenues		\$39,962	\$53,949	\$67,936	\$81,923	\$95,910	\$109,897	\$123,884	\$137,870	\$151,857	\$165,844	\$179,831	\$180,330	\$1,389,194
Expenses														
Adminsitrative		\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$17,607	\$211,280
Marketing		3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713	\$44,555
Utilities		2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	\$33,250
Maintenance		17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	17,417	\$209,000
Real Estate Tax		19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	19,792	\$237,500
Insurance		2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	\$28,500
Total Expenses		\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$63,674	\$764,085
Net Operating Income		(23,711)	(9,724)	4,262	18,249	32,236	46,223	60,210	74,197	88,183	102,170	116,157	116,657	\$625,109
Replacement Reserve		2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375	\$28,500
Net Income/(Loss)		(\$26,086)	(\$12,099)	\$1,887	\$15,874	\$29,861	\$43,848	\$57,835	\$71,822	\$85,808	\$99,795	\$113,782	\$114,282	\$596,609

Cathartes

Ten Year Operating Proforma Phase 1

	Total Units Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth	95 3.00% 3.00%										
Description			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Revenues		year ending:	11/1/2020	11/1/2021	11/1/2022	11/1/2023	11/1/2024	11/1/2025	11/1/2026	11/1/2027	11/1/2028	11/1/2029
	Potential Gross Residential Rent			\$2,543,769	\$2,620,082	\$2,698,684	\$2,779,645	\$2,863,034	\$2,948,925	\$3,037,393	\$3,128,515	\$3,222,370
	Other income			36,283	37,371	38,492	39,647	40,837	42,062	43,324	44,623	45,962
	Potential Gross Income		\$2,277,859	\$2,580,052	\$2,657,453	\$2,737,177	\$2,819,292	\$2,903,871	\$2,990,987	\$3,080,716	\$3,173,138	\$3,268,332
	Vacancy 5.0%		(\$888,665)	(\$127,188)	(\$131,004)	(\$134,934)	(\$138,982)	(\$143,152)	(\$147,446)	(\$151,870)	(\$156,426)	(\$161,119)
Total Revenues			\$1,389,194	\$2,452,863	\$2,526,449	\$2,602,242	\$2,680,310	\$2,760,719	\$2,843,541	\$2,928,847	\$3,016,712	\$3,107,214
Expenses												
	Adminsitrative		\$211,280	\$224,147	230,871	237,798	244,931	252,279	259,848	267,643	275,672	283,943
	Marketing		\$44,555	\$47,268	48,686	50,147	51,651	53,201	54,797	56,441	58,134	59,878
	Utilities		\$33,250	\$35,275	36,333	37,423	38,546	39,702	40,893	42,120	43,384	44,685
	Maintenance		\$209,000	\$221,728	228,380	235,231	242,288	249,557	257,044	264,755	272,698	280,879
	Real Estate Tax		\$237,500	\$251,964	259,523	267,308	275,328	283,587	292,095	300,858	309,884	319,180
	Insurance		\$28,500	\$30,236	31,143	32,077	33,039	34,030	35,051	36,103	37,186	38,302
Total Expenses			\$764,085	\$810,618	\$834,936	\$859,984	\$885,784	\$912,357	\$939,728	\$967,920	\$996,958	\$1,026,866
Net Operating Ir	ncome		\$625,109	\$1,642,245	\$1,691,513	\$1,742,258	\$1,794,526	\$1,848,362	\$1,903,812	\$1,960,927	\$2,019,755	\$2,080,347
	Replacement Reserve		\$28,500	\$29,355	30,236	31,143	32,077	33,039	34,030	35,051	36,103	37,186
NET INCOME/(LO	OSS)		\$596,609	\$1,612,890	\$1,661,277	\$1,711,115	\$1,762,449	\$1,815,322	\$1,869,782	\$1,925,875	\$1,983,652	\$2,043,161

Cathartes

Ten Year Operating Proforma Phase 2

	Total Keys	120										
	Annual Revenue Growth	3.00%										
	Annual Expense Growth	3.00%										
			2/28/2023	2/29/2024	2/28/2025	2/28/2026	2/28/2027	2/29/2028	2/28/2029	2/28/2030	2/28/2031	2/29/2032
Description			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
		ADR	\$140	\$145	\$150	\$150	\$150	\$155	\$159	\$164	\$169	\$174
	Occu	ipancy	65%	70%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%
(000s)	Rev	Par	\$91	\$102	\$113	\$113	\$113	\$116	\$119	\$123	\$127	\$130
Rooms Revenue			3,986	4,458	4,928	4,928	4,928	5,089	5,228	5,384	5,546	5,728
Other Revenue			544	608	672	672	672	694	713	734	756	781
Total Revenue			\$4,529	\$5,066	\$5,599	\$5,599	\$5,599	\$5,783	\$5,940	\$6,119	\$6,302	\$6,509
Departmental Expenses			\$1,585	\$1,773	\$1,960	\$1,960	\$1,960	\$2,024	\$2,079	\$2,142	\$2,206	\$2,278
Departmental Profits			\$2,944	\$3,293	\$3,640	\$3,640	\$3,640	\$3,759	\$3,861	\$3,977	\$4,096	\$4,231
Undistributed Expenses			906	1,013	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,157	1,188	1,224	1,260	1,302
Gross Operating Profit			2,038	2,280	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,602	2,673	2,753	2,836	2,929
Fixed Expenses			317	355	392	392	392	405	416	428	441	456
NET INCOME/(LOSS)			\$1,721	\$1,925	\$2,128	\$2,128	\$2,128	\$2,198	\$2,257	\$2,325	\$2,395	\$2,473

Cathartes

Ten Year Operating Proforma Phase 3

NET INCOME/(LOSS)			\$82,900	\$82,537	\$82,163	\$81,778	\$81,381	\$90,473	\$90,052	\$89,619	\$89,172	\$88,712
Reserve for Leasing			4,500	4,635	4,774	4,917	5,065	5,217	5,373	5,534	5,700	5,871
Net Operating Income			\$87,400	\$87,172	\$86,937	\$86,695	\$86,446	\$95,690	\$95,425	\$95,153	\$94,873	\$94,584
Total Operating Expenses			\$38,000	\$39,140	\$40,314	\$41,524	\$42,769	\$44,052	\$45,374	\$46,735	\$48,137	\$49,581
Non-Reimbursalbe Operating Expen	ses	\$1.50	6,000	6,180	6,365	6,556	6,753	6,956	7,164	7,379	7,601	7,829
Reimbursable Operating Expenses		\$8.00	\$32,000	\$32,960	\$33,949	\$34,967	\$36,016	\$37,097	\$38,210	\$39,356	\$40,537	\$41,753
Effective Gross Income			125,400	126,312	127,251	128,219	129,215	139,742	140,799	141,888	143,010	144,165
Vacancy		5.00%	(6,600)	(6,648)	(6,697)	(6,748)	(6,801)	(7,355)	(7,410)	(7,468)	(7,527)	(7,588)
Potential Reimbursement			\$32,000	\$32,960	\$33,949	\$34,967	\$36,016	\$37,097	\$38,210	\$39,356	\$40,537	\$41,753
Gross Annual Rent			\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$110,000
Description			11/30/2021 <u>Year 1</u>	11/30/2022 <u>Year 2</u>	11/30/2023 <u>Year 3</u>	11/30/2024 <u>Year 4</u>	11/30/2025 <u>Year 5</u>	11/30/2026 <u>Year 6</u>	11/30/2027 <u>Year 7</u>	11/30/2028 <u>Year 8</u>	11/30/2029 <u>Year 9</u>	11/30/2030 <u>Year 10</u>
Ann	ual Expense Growth	3.00%	44/20/2024	44/20/2022	44/20/2022	44/20/2024	44/20/2025	44/20/2026	44/20/2027	44/20/2020	44 /20 /2020	44/20/2020
	al Revenue Growth		ery five years									
	tal Restaurant Area	4,000										

Leasing Reserve Calculations

Lease Term	10
Renewal Propbability	75%
Leasing Commission New	\$8.00
Leasing Commission Renew	\$4.00
Expected Commission	\$5.00
TI New	\$25.00
TI Renew	\$0.00
Expected TI	\$6.25
Total Expected Leasing	\$11.25
Total Expected Leasing /Year	\$1.13

<u>2019</u>

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model Cathartes

5/22/2018 City of Dover

<u> Stabilized Operating Budget - Phase 4</u>

			Total Units Rentable Area	70 61,073	units net sf
Residential Pro Forma			Stabilized Pro Forma	Des Usià	Per
Income			<u>Pro Forma</u>	Per Unit	Net SF
Potential Gross Residential Rent			\$1,755,023	\$25,072	\$2.39
Other income	95 Units	\$30.00 per unit/mo.	34,200	489	0.56
Potential Gross Income			\$1,789,223	\$25,560	\$29.30
Vacancy	5.00%		(89,461)	<u>(1,278)</u>	(1.46)
Effective Gross Income			\$1,699,761	\$24,282	\$27.83
Operating Expenses					
Adminsitrative			\$155,680	\$2,224	\$2.55
Marketing			32,830	469	0.54
Utilities			24,500	350	0.40
Maintenance			154,000	2,200	2.52
Real Estate Tax			175,000	2,500	2.87
Insurance			21,000	300	0.34
Total Expenses			\$563,010	\$8,043	\$9.22
Net Operating Income			\$1,136,751	\$16,239	\$18.61
Replacement Reserve	\$300 /unit		<u>\$21,000</u>	<u>\$300</u>	<u>\$0.34</u>
Net Income			\$1,115,751	\$15,939	\$18.27

Cathartes

Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth	3.00% 3.00%	9/1/2021	10/31/2021	11/30/2021	12/31/2021	1/31/2022	2/28/2022	3/31/2022	4/30/2022	5/31/2022	6/30/2022	7/31/2022	8/31/2022	2022
Description		MONTH 1	MONTH 2	MONTH 3	MONTH 4	MONTH 5	MONTH 6	MONTH 7	MONTH 8	MONTH 9	MONTH 10	MONTH 11	MONTH 12	First Year <u>Total</u>
Units Complete		70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
Units Leased Leased Percentage		<u>15</u> 21%	<u>7</u> 31%	<u>7</u> 41%	<u>7</u> 51%	<u>7</u> 61%	<u>7</u> 71%	<u>7</u> 81%	<u>7</u> 91%	<u>6</u> 100%	100%	100%	<u>0</u> 100%	70 100%
Revenues														
Potential Gross Income		\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$150,273	\$1,803,277
Leaseup Vacancy	5%	(118,072)	(103,044)	(88,017)	(72,990)	(57,962)	(42,935)	(27,908) 0	(12,881)	0	0	0	0	(523,809)
General Vacancy Total Revenues	5%	0 \$32,201	0 \$47,229	0 \$62,256	0 \$77,283	0 \$92,311	0 \$107,338	\$ 122,365	0 \$137,393	(7,514) \$142,759	(7,514) \$142,759	(7,514) \$142,759	<mark>(7,514)</mark> \$142,759	<mark>(30,055)</mark> \$1,249,413
Expenses														
Adminsitrative		\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$13,763	\$165,161
Marketing		2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	2,902	\$34,829
Utilities		2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	2,166	\$25,992
Maintenance		13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	13,615 15,471	\$163,379 \$185,658
Real Estate Tax Insurance		1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	\$185,658 \$22,279
Total Expenses		\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$49,775	\$597,297
Net Operating Income		(17,573)	(2,546)	12,481	27,509	42,536	57,563	72,590	87,618	92,985	92,985	92,985	92,985	\$652,116
Replacement Reserve		1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	1,857	\$22,279
Net Income/(Loss)		(\$19,430)	(\$4,403)	\$10,625	\$25,652	\$40,679	\$55,707	\$70,734	\$85,761	\$91,128	\$91,128	\$91,128	\$91,128	\$629,837

Cathartes

Ten Year Operating Proforma Phase 4

	Total Units Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth	70 3.00% 3.00%										
Description Revenues	Potential Gross Residential Rent	year ending:	<u>Year 1</u> 8/31/2022	<u>Year 2</u> 8/31/2023 \$2,013,786	<u>Year 3</u> 8/31/2024 \$2,074,199	<u>Year 4</u> 8/31/2025 \$2,136,425	<u>Year 5</u> 8/31/2026 \$2,200,518	<u>Year 6</u> 8/31/2027 \$2,266,534	<u>Year 7</u> 8/31/2028 \$2,334,530	<u>Year 8</u> 8/31/2029 \$2,404,566	<u>Year 9</u> 8/31/2030 \$2,476,702	<u>Year 10</u> 8/31/2031 \$2,551,004
Total Revenues	Other income Potential Gross Income Vacancy 5.0% s		\$1,803,277 (\$553,864) \$1,249,413	38,492 \$2,052,278 (\$100,689) \$1,951,589	39,647 \$2,113,847 (\$103,710) \$2,010,137	40,837 \$2,177,262 (\$106,821) \$2,070,441	42,062 \$2,242,580 (\$110,026) \$2,132,554	43,324 \$2,309,857 (\$113,327) \$2,196,530	44,623 \$2,379,153 (\$116,726) \$2,262,426	45,962 \$2,450,527 (\$120,228) \$2,330,299	47,341 \$2,524,043 (\$123,835) \$2,400,208	48,761 \$2,599,765 (\$127,550) \$2,472,214
Expenses												
LAPEIISES	Adminsitrative Marketing Utilities Maintenance Real Estate Tax Insurance		\$165,161 \$34,829 \$25,992 \$163,379 \$185,658 \$22,279	\$175,219 \$36,950 \$27,575 \$173,328 \$196,964 \$23,636	180,476 38,059 28,402 178,528 202,873 24,345	185,890 39,201 29,254 183,884 208,959 25,075	191,467 40,377 30,132 189,401 215,228 25,827	197,211 41,588 31,036 195,083 221,685 26,602	203,127 42,836 31,967 200,935 228,335 27,400	209,221 44,121 32,926 206,963 235,185 28,222	215,498 45,444 33,914 213,172 242,241 29,069	221,962 46,808 34,931 219,567 249,508 29,941
Total Expenses			\$597,297	\$633,673	\$652,683	\$672,263	\$692,431	\$713,204	\$734,600	\$756,638	\$779,338	\$802,718
Net Operating I	Income		\$652,116	\$1,317,916	\$1,357,454	\$1,398,177	\$1,440,123	\$1,483,326	\$1,527,826	\$1,573,661	\$1,620,871	\$1,669,497
	Replacement Reserve		\$22,279	\$21,630	22,279	22,947	23,636	24,345	25,075	25,827	26,602	27,400
NET INCOME/(L	LOSS)		\$629,837	\$1,296,286	\$1,335,175	\$1,375,230	\$1,416,487	\$1,458,981	\$1,502,751	\$1,547,833	\$1,594,268	\$1,642,097

5/22/2018 City of Dover

<u> Stabilized Operating Budget - Phase 5</u>

<u>2019</u>

			Total Units	91 u	nits
			Rentable Area	61,073 n	et sf
			Stabilized		Per
Residential Pro Forma			Pro Forma	Per Unit	Net SF
Income					
Potential Gross Residential Rent			\$2,363,546	\$25,973	\$3.23
Other income	95 Units	\$30.00 per unit/mo.	34,200	376	0.56
Potential Gross Income			\$2,397,746	\$26,349	\$39.26
Vacancy	5.00%		<u>(119,887)</u>	<u>(1,317)</u>	<u>(1.96)</u>
Effective Gross Income			\$2,277,859	\$25,031	\$37.30
Operating Expenses					
Adminsitrative			\$202,384	\$2,224	\$3.31
Marketing			42,679	469	0.70
Utilities			31,850	350	0.52
Maintenance			200,200	2,200	3.28
Real Estate Tax			227,500	2,500	3.73
Insurance			27,300	300	0.45
Total Expenses			\$731,913	\$8,043	\$11.98
Net Operating Income			\$1,545,946	\$16,988	\$25.31
Replacement Reserve	\$300 /unit		<u>\$27,300</u>	<u>\$300</u>	<u>\$0.45</u>
Net Income			\$1,518,646	\$16,688	\$24.87

Cathartes

Lease Up Operating Proforma Phase 5

Lease Up Operating Proforma Phase 5 Annual Revenue Growth	3.00%													
Annual Expense Growth	3.00%	9/1/2021	10/31/2021	11/30/2021	12/31/2021	1/31/2022	2/28/2022	3/31/2022	4/30/2022	5/31/2022	6/30/2022	7/31/2022	8/31/2022	2022
Description		MONTH 1	MONTH 2	MONTH 3	MONTH 4	MONTH 5	MONTH 6	MONTH 7	MONTH 8	MONTH 9	MONTH 10	MONTH 11	MONTH 12	First Year <u>Total</u>
Units Complete		91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91
Units Leased		15	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	7	<u>7</u>	7	7	7	7	7	7	<u>6</u>	91
Leased Percentage		16%	24%	32%	40%	47%	55%	63%	70%	78%	86%	93%	100%	100%
Revenues														
Potential Gross Income		\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$201,382	\$2,416,580
Leaseup Vacancy		(168,187)	(152,696)	(137,205)	(121,714)	(106,223)	(90,732)	(75,242)	(59,751)	(44,260)	(28,769)	(13,278)	0	(998,057)
General Vacancy	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(10,069)	(10,069)
Total Revenues		\$33,195	\$48,686	\$64,177	\$79,667	\$95,158	\$110,649	\$126,140	\$141,631	\$157,122	\$172,613	\$188,104	\$191,313	\$1,408,455
Expenses														
Adminsitrative		\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$17,892	\$214,709
Marketing		3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	3,773	\$45,278
Utilities		2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	2,816	\$33,790
Maintenance		17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	17,699	\$212,392
Real Estate Tax		20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	20,113	\$241,355
Insurance		2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	\$28,963
Total Expenses		\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$64,707	\$776,487
Net Operating Income		(31,512)	(16,022)	(531)	14,960	30,451	45,942	61,433	76,924	92,415	107,906	123,397	126,605	\$631,968
Replacement Reserve		2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	2,414	\$28,963
Net Income/(Loss)		(\$33,926)	(\$18,435)	(\$2,944)	\$12,547	\$28,038	\$43,529	\$59,019	\$74,510	\$90,001	\$105,492	\$120,983	\$124,192	\$603,006

Cathartes

Ten Year Operating Proforma Phase 5

5.0% ve Sax		(\$1,008,126) \$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790 \$212,392 \$241,355 \$28,963 \$776,487 \$631,968 \$28,963	(\$134,934) \$2,602,242 \$248,036 \$35,847 \$225,327 \$256,053 \$30,726 \$823,775 \$1,778,468 \$28,119	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923 232,087 263,735 31,648 \$848,488 \$1,831,822 28,963	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031 239,049 271,647 32,598 \$873,942 \$1,886,777 29,831	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171 246,221 279,796 33,576 \$900,161 \$1,943,380 30,726	\$2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347 253,607 288,190 34,583 \$927,165 \$2,001,681 31,648	\$3,016,712 264,065 55,686 41,557 261,216 296,836 35,620 \$954,980 \$2,061,732 32,598	\$3,107,214 271,987 57,357 42,804 269,052 305,741 36,689 \$983,630 \$2,123,584 33,576	\$3,200,430 280,147 59,078 44,088 277,124 314,913 37,790 \$1,013,139 \$2,187,291 34,583	\$3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410 285,437 324,361 38,923 \$1,043,533 \$2,252,910 35,620
ve		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790 \$212,392 \$241,355 \$28,963 \$776,487	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036 \$35,847 \$225,327 \$256,053 \$30,726 \$823,775	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923 232,087 263,735 31,648 \$848,488	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031 239,049 271,647 32,598 \$873,942	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171 246,221 279,796 33,576 \$900,161	\$2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347 253,607 288,190 34,583 \$927,165	264,065 55,686 41,557 261,216 296,836 35,620 \$954,980	271,987 57,357 42,804 269,052 305,741 36,689 \$983,630	280,147 59,078 44,088 277,124 314,913 37,790 \$1,013,139	\$3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410 285,437 324,361 38,923 \$1,043,533
ve		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790 \$212,392 \$241,355 \$28,963	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036 \$35,847 \$225,327 \$256,053 \$30,726	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923 232,087 263,735 31,648	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031 239,049 271,647 32,598	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171 246,221 279,796 33,576	\$2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347 253,607 288,190 34,583	264,065 55,686 41,557 261,216 296,836 35,620	271,987 57,357 42,804 269,052 305,741 36,689	280,147 59,078 44,088 277,124 314,913 37,790	\$3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410 285,437 324,361 38,923
ve		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790 \$212,392 \$241,355	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036 \$35,847 \$225,327 \$256,053	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923 232,087 263,735	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031 239,049 271,647	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171 246,221 279,796	\$2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347 253,607 288,190	264,065 55,686 41,557 261,216 296,836	271,987 57,357 42,804 269,052 305,741	280,147 59,078 44,088 277,124 314,913	\$3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410 285,437 324,361
ve		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790 \$212,392	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036 \$35,847 \$225,327	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923 232,087	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031 239,049	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171 246,221	\$2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347 253,607	264,065 55,686 41,557 261,216	271,987 57,357 42,804 269,052	280,147 59,078 44,088 277,124	\$3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410 285,437
ve		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278 \$33,790	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036 \$35,847	\$2,680,310 234,619 49,477 36,923	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961 38,031	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490 39,171	\$ 2,928,847 256,374 54,064 40,347	264,065 55,686 41,557	271,987 57,357 42,804	280,147 59,078 44,088	\$ 3,296,443 288,551 60,850 45,410
		\$1,408,455 \$214,709 \$45,278	\$2,602,242 \$227,785 \$48,036	\$ 2,680,310 234,619 49,477	\$2,760,719 241,657 50,961	\$2,843,541 248,907 52,490	\$ 2,928,847 256,374 54,064	264,065 55,686	271,987 57,357	280,147 59,078	\$ 3,296,443 288,551 60,850
		\$1,408,455 \$214,709	\$ 2,602,242 \$227,785	\$ 2,680,310 234,619	\$ 2,760,719 241,657	\$2,843,541 248,907	\$ 2,928,847 256,374	264,065	271,987	280,147	\$3,296,443 288,551
		\$1,408,455	\$2,602,242	\$2,680,310	\$2,760,719	\$2,843,541	\$2,928,847				\$3,296,443
5.0%			,	,		,	,	\$3,016,712	\$3,107,214	\$3,200,430	
5.0%			,	,		,	,				
				(\$138,982)	(\$143,152)	(\$147,446)	(\$151,870)	(\$156,426)	(\$161,119)	(\$165,952)	(\$170,931
oss Income											\$3,467,374
e			38,492	39,647	40,837	42,062	43,324	44,623	45,962	47,341	48,761
oss Residential Rent	year ending:	8/31/2022	8/31/2023 \$2,698,684	8/31/2024 \$2,779,645	8/31/2025 \$2,863,034	8/31/2026 \$2,948,925	8/31/2027 \$3,037,393	8/31/2028 \$3,128,515	8/31/2029 \$3,222,370	8/31/2030 \$3,319,041	8/31/2031 \$3,418,613
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Annual Expense Growth	3.00%										
e	ss Residential Rent	Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth Annual Expense Growth Annual Expense Growth Annual Expense Growth year ending: year ending:	Annual Revenue Growth 3.00% Annual Expense Growth 3.00% year ending: 8/31/2022 ss Residential Rent	Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth 3.00% Year 1 Year 2 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 \$2,698,684 2 38,492	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 year ending: 38,492 39,647	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 yeas Residential Rent 38,492 39,647 40,837	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 8/31/2026 ss Residential Rent 2 38,492 39,647 40,837 42,062	Year Growth Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth 3.00% Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 8/31/2026 8/31/2027 ss Residential Rent 2 38,492 39,647 40,837 42,062 43,324	Year Growth Annual Expense Growth 3.00% Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 8/31/2026 8/31/2027 8/31/2028 sss Residential Rent 38,492 39,647 40,837 42,062 43,324 44,623	Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2023 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 8/31/2026 8/31/2027 8/31/2028 8/31/2029 year ending: 8/31/2022 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 8/31/2026 8/31/2027 8/31/2028 8/31/2029 year ending: 8/31/2024 8/31/2025 \$2,986,984 \$2,779,645 \$2,863,034 \$2,948,925 \$3,037,393 \$3,128,515 \$3,222,370 a 38,492 39,647 40,837 42,062 43,324 44,623 45,962	Annual Revenue Growth Annual Expense Growth 3.00% Year 1 year ending: Year 2 8/31/2022 Year 3 8/31/2023 Year 4 8/31/2024 Year 5 8/31/2025 Year 6 8/31/2026 Year 7 8/31/2027 Year 8 8/31/2028 Year 9 8/31/2029 8/31/2030 8/31/2030 e 38,492 39,647 40,837 42,062 43,324 44,623 45,962 47,341

CONFIDENTIAL

Cocheco Waterfront Development - Multi-Phase Land Development Financial Model Cathartes

Condominium Sales

									Cost of Sale			
Month	Month	Units	Cum. %	Gross	Early	Discounted	Comm-	Marketing	Transfer	Other	Total Cost	Net Sale
No.	Ending	Sold	Sold	Sales	Discount	Sales	issions	Bonus	Тах	Costs	of Sale	Proceeds
				-			5.000%	1.000%	0.750%	0.150%		
1	Mar-23	8	15.1%	3,305,941	0%	3,305,941	(165,297)	(33,059)	(24,795)	(4,959)	(228,110)	3,077,831
2	Apr-23	3	20.8%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
3	May-23	3	26.4%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
4	Jun-23	3	32.1%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
5	Jul-23	3	37.7%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
6	Aug-23	3	43.4%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
7	Sep-23	3	49.1%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
8	Oct-23	3	54.7%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
9	Nov-23	3	60.4%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
10	Dec-23	3	66.0%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
11	Jan-24	3	71.7%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
12	Feb-24	3	77.4%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
13	Mar-24	3	83.0%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
14	Apr-24	3	88.7%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
15	May-24	3	94.3%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
16	Jun-24	3	100.0%	1,239,728	0%	1,239,728	(61,986)	(12,397)	(9,298)	(1,860)	(85,541)	1,154,187
17	Jul-24		100.0%	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Aug-24		100.0%	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unsold Units		0	100.0%	0	0%							
Totals		53		21,901,860		21,901,860	(1,095,093)	(219,019)	(164,264)	(32,853)	(1,511,228)	20,390,632

5/22/2018

City of Dover













COCHECO WATERFRONT, DOVER RESIDENTIAL MARKET ANALYSIS

CATHARTES May 2018



Location Analysis

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 1

Overview of Metro Area Population Trends

The subject site is located in Strafford County, the most northern county in the Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA-NH Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and along with Rockingham County comprises the New Hampshire portion of the MSA.

Since 2010 the MSA has seen roughly 0.9% annual growth, led by Middlesex and Suffolk County, where Boston is located. This growth is over double the rate of growth that occurred from 2000 to 2010. This is contrast to Strafford and Rockingham County who led the MSA in annual growth from 2000 to 2010 and have fallen behind since 2010. To further emphasize the change since 2010, from 2000 to 2010 the New Hampshire counties captured 17.9% of all growth, but since 2010 have captured only 5.1% of all growth. With that said, growth rates remained positive and outside of the urban core, remain in-line with other counties within the MSA.





	LAND AREA		POPUL	POPULATION		ANNUAL GROWTH		ANNUAL % GROWTH		CAPTURE	OF REGION
GEOGRAPHY	SQ MILES	% MSA	2016	% of MSA	2000-10	2010-16	2000-10	2010-16	2000-10	2010-16	LAND / CAPTURE RATIO
Essex County	494	14.2%	779,018	16.2%	1,975	5,975	0.3%	0.8%	12.2%	14.8%	1.05
Middlesex County	818	23.5%	1,589,774	33.2%	3,766	14,453	0.3%	0.9%	23.4%	35.9%	1.53
Norfolk County	396	11.3%	697,181	14.5%	2,068	4,366	0.3%	0.6%	12.8%	10.8%	0.95
Plymouth County	659	18.9%	513,565	10.7%	2,213	3,103	0.5%	0.6%	13.7%	7.7%	0.41
Suffolk County	58	1.7%	784,230	16.4%	3,227	10,359	0.5%	1.4%	20.0%	25.7%	15.42
Rockingham County	695	19.9%	303,251	6.3%	1,786	1,340	0.6%	0.4%	11.1%	3.3%	0.17
Strafford County	369	10.6%	127,428	2.7%	1,091	715	0.9%	0.6%	6.8%	1.8%	0.17
MSA Total	3,488	100%	4,794,447	100%	16,125	40,309	0.4%	0.9%	100.0%	100.0%	1.00

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, U.S. Census Bureau



Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 2

Population Density and Median Income

The population in the region is primarily centered around Rochester, Dover, Portsmouth, and Durham where the University of New Hampshire is located. Higher median income households tend to be located in the single family neighborhoods outside the town centers, however, median incomes still show a hierarchy of affluence with Portsmouth at the top and Dover above Rochester. The Dover market provides an excellent alternative to Portsmouth for those being priced out of that market.







Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 3

Young Professionals in the Market

This exhibit shows a snapshot of the total population aged 18 to 34 in the region surrounding Dover per census tract. Dover and Portsmouth both have a high percentage of young adults primarily due to the lifestyle offerings these centers provide, which is increasingly becoming a major factor in where young adults choose to live. 39% of residents near the subject site are aged 18 to 34, which is one of the highest in the region, and will increase as many in this age group are priced out of areas such as Portsmouth and Dover continues to improve its Downtown area with restaurants, retail, and residential offerings.



SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, SocialExplorer, US Census

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 4 Regional Walkability



Walkability is a key factor for the modern renter as they seek access to restaurants, bars, retail, and other lifestyle amenities. Compared to the other downtown cores in the region, Dover offers one of the larger, most walkable cores as determined by Walk Score. Only Downtown Portsmouth offers a stronger core, which can be seen in the premiums new rental product commands there.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, WalkScore.com

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 5





Living close to restaurant and bar options is a key factor for many renters, especially those within the target demographic and seeking the walkable lifestyle that intown Dover provides. The heat maps shown above highlight restaurant and bar options near the subject site that have been reviewed by Yelp. Yelp is a crowd-sourced local business review website that provides us objective insight into where popular restaurant and nightlife offerings are located.

Downtown Dover offers a significant concentration of dining and nightlife opportunities. Furthermore, the subject site's location offers prospective residents great proximity to the majority of these restaurants. The black dots on the map presented on the right hand side of this exhibit indicate restaurants with over 100 reviews and a rating of 3.5 stars (out of 5) and above. This indicates the subject site's excellent location to not only a high quantity of restaurant and bars, but also a high quality collection that pulls in customers from all over the region.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Yelp, Bing Maps

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 6 Access to Retail



As with nightlife, access to retail is an important factor for many renters. Visits to the grocer store and other retail establishments are weekly chores and proximity to these establishments helps minimize travel and time for working professionals.

The subject site's intown Dover location offers proximity to the many unique boutique retail found in the area with a small grocer and hardware store for more frequent trips located nearby. Slightly further north is a collection of big box retail offering a broader selection.

For clothing and less frequent shopping nearby, The Mall at Fox Run and the Kittery Outlets offer a broad range of retail offerings.

Overall, the subject site enjoys solid retail access.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Yelp, Bing Maps

Noe Consulting Group

NE

Е

Exhibit 7

Work Destinations of Existing Dover Residents



Top 10 Work Destinations



This exhibit shows the work destination for residents within 3-miles of the subject site who earn \$40,000+. Roughly a quarter of all residents work in the Dover area w/ nearly 50% working between Dover & Portsmouth. Other popular destinations are Durham, where the University of New Hampshire is located, and Exeter / Hampton where there is a collection of corporate headquarters. Roughly 75% of all workers work within 24 miles of where they live. Despite the proximity to jobs, Downtown Dover does not have a strong job core and most workers will be commuting via car.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Google Maps, US Census

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 8

Proximity and Connection of the Subject Site to Jobs

Total Jobs, Above \$40k in Salary, By Distance	
Distance	Employment
24 Miles	68,714
15 Miles	48,836
10 Miles	30,222
5 Miles	14,098

Top 10 Industry Sectors Within 24 Miles

Industry Sector	
Manufacturing	16%
Educational Services	13%
Health Care and Social Assistance	12%
Finance and Insurance	10%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	8%
Retail Trade	7%
Wholesale Trade	6%
Construction	5%
Public Administration	5%
Administration & Support	4%

Key Employers in Dover / Portsmouth Area

Company	Employees	Industry
University of New Hampshire	5,151	Education
Liberty Mutual	3,854	Insurance Services
Frisbie Memorial Hospital	1,014	Healthcare
ContiTech	1,000	Manufacturing
HCA Portsmouth Regional Hospital	980	Healthcare
Lonza Biologics	792	Pharmaceuticals
Wentworth-Douglass Hospital	769	Healthcare
Sig Sauer	600	Manufacturing
John Hancock	400	Financial Services



This exhibit shows the number of jobs paying over \$40,000 within 24 miles of the subject site. The strong collection of high paying jobs can be seen around Dover and Portsmouth, as well as near Exeter and Durham. Major employers include the University of New Hampshire, Liberty Mutual, Portsmith International Airport, ContiTech, local hospitals such as the nearby Wentworth-Douglas Hospital, and corporate headquarters of well known brands such as Planet Fitness and Timberland. Major industries include manufacturing, educational services (University of New Hampshire), healthcare, and finance / insurance (Liberty Mutual, John Hancock). The Pease International Tradeport in Portsmouth has attracted over 10,000 jobs in its 25 year span of redevelopment and is among the strongest employment cores in the region.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Google Maps, US Census, OntheMap





Exhibit 9

Current Situation Assessment and Key Implications to the Residential Opportunities on the Subject Site



Strengths	Challenges	Opportunities
The subject site enjoys great proximity to local restaurants, bars,	Subject site will be competing with new Class A product in & around	Build on the creation of a new urban neighborhood within Dover's
and retail as well as cultural amenities such as a community gym, library, amphitheater, and parks & trails.	Portsmouth which has a higher perception in the market as well as competing with generally cheaper, renovated inventory in Dover.	urban fabric, one that offers a strong sense of lifestyle and walkability, amenitizing both new rental and for-sale product. To
	compound with generally energies, renevated inventory in Deven.	this, appeal to a broad array of renters and prospective townhouse
Short distance to major employers such as the University of New	Limited though growing restaurant options. (Hotel offering should	buyers, ranging from younger singles to empty nesters, to mature
Hampshire, Liberty Mutual, and Wentworth-Douglass Hospital.	promote tourism support).	singles and families with children.
Unique river and Downtown Dover views. New open space and park	Lack of diverse retail offering in Downtown Dover, will need to drive	Rental apartment unit counts should allow for the creation of an
space as part of planned redevelopment.	to Portsmouth for higher end clothing stores.	internal lifestyle within the apartment community that can build on
Only minimal Class A maduat compatition in Deven		the significant investment and sense of lifestyle being created on the
Only minimal Class A product competition in Dover.		larger property overall.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Google Maps



Demographic Analysis

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 10

Age and Income Analysis of the PMA and Comparison to Boston MSA 2000 - 2017 (estimates)

2000 - 2017	15 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65+	Total
\$0 - \$25,000	-1,061	-886	-1,788	-642	-68	-825	-5,270
\$25,000 - \$35,000	90	-1,124	-2,209	-1,241	-258	1,423	-3,319
\$35,000 - \$50,000	-205	-2,422	-4,139	-1,572	723	2,072	-5,543
\$50,000 - \$75,000	388	-3,491	-7,078	-2,105	1,915	4,243	-6,128
575,000 - \$100,000	101	150	-3,192	- <mark>1</mark> ,181	2,389	3,574	1,841
100,000 - \$150,000	85	2,198	588	4,112	6,417	3,824	17,224
150,000 - \$200,000	29	1,042	1,594	2,968	3,383	1,561	10,577
\$200,000 +	6	977	1,943	3,715	3,996	1,790	12,427
Fotal	-567	-3,556	-14,281	4,054	18,497	17,662	21,809
Target Audience	404	-1,546	-10,284	5,937	18,823	0	13,334



The PMA has added 13,334 household within the target age & income ranges since 2000, or 784 HH/yr.

This exhibit looks at total household growth, for both owners and renters, within the Primary Market Area (PMA) which NCG has defined as the Seacoast region of New Hampshire which is comprised of Strafford and Rockingham counties. Household growth within the PMA has primarily come from older, affluent households with households aged 35 to 44 seeing negative growth from 2000 to 2017. The purple outline above highlights our target audience which consists of those who can afford new Class A product and ages typically seen in Class A & B rental communities in the PMA and who the subject site will be attempting to attract. This audience has seen growth of over 784 per year and is heavily concentrated among those aged 45 to 64.





Household Growth by Income



Source: NCG, Nielsen, US Census Data

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 11

Summary of Renter Households in the PMA, 2017

Income/Age	15 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	Total
Less than \$15,000	1,703	1,589	752	724	673	544	639	623	7,246
\$15,000 - \$24,999	1,069	1,375	703	499	475	666	807	637	6,231
\$25,000 - \$34,999	1,629	1,335	714	536	450	598	558	394	6,215
\$35,000 - \$49,999	1,531	1,825	1,050	1,003	829	740	552	279	7,810
\$50,000 - \$74,999	1,322	2,297	1,430	1,367	1,032	768	394	190	8,800
\$75,000 - \$99,999	219	1,459	1,039	829	589	360	160	68	4,722
\$100,000 - \$149,999	57	779	684	669	440	168	58	35	2,890
Income \$150,000 +	8	270	358	357	232	75	26	7	1,333
Total	7,537	10,929	6,729	5,984	4,720	3,919	3,196	2,233	45,247
Target Audience	3,137	6,630	4,560	4,225	3,123	0	0	0	21,675

Unlike the previous exhibit, this is a snapshot of renter households within the PMA (as opposed to household growth). As with the previous exhibit we've outlined and focused on renters earning \$35,000+ and aged 15 to 64 with the demographics of this target audience being displayed below. There is a heavy concentration of renters aged 34 or less (45.1%) and a strong concentration of unmarried couples / roommates (19%). Renters aged 15 to 34 are heavily concentrated in income brackets earning less than \$75,000, which indicates a heavy amount of entry level / young professional jobs.



SOURCE: Noell Consulting projections based on data obtained from the US Census and Claritas, Inc.

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 12

Recommended Unit Mix and Layout Based On Target Audiences

	Young Singles	Mature Singles	Professional Couples	Empty Nesters	Roommates	Families w/ Children		
Description	Professionals working in the area seeking a lifestyle and easy access to employment and entertainment.	setting. Many have	Couples mostly in their late 20s & 30s, with some early 40s. A mix of recently married and dating. May be recent relocatees.	Mature couples & empty nesters. Established & seeking home close to work and cultural / lifestyle amenities. May have 2nd home.	out of financial necessity,	New parents and those with young and early school- aged children. Some single parents working in the area. Often in transition.		
How They Use Their Units	Use unit as crash pad and place to unwind. Often more active/out and about. Trade space for less rent. Appreciate well-executed building amenities.	Tend to stay in more than younger counterparts. More likely to cook and host. Value space, quality, but not excessive finishes, and functional unit layouts.	Eat-in more often, but still enjoy going out. Tend to stay local. Value amenities, and have friends over on a frequently.	A true home. Eat-in most nights and host friends on a regular basis. seek spacious kitchen and living areas. Often down-sizing and need extra storage.	Most space for the money and keeping absolute rents lower. Seeking at minimum 1 bed units w/ dens, primarily 2Bs.	Seeking space and functionality while keeping absolute rents low. Will use unit as true home.		
Typical Income	\$35,000-\$75,000	\$35,000-\$100,000	\$75,000-\$150,000	\$100,000-\$200,000+	<\$100,000 (combined)	\$75,000-\$150,000		
Typical Rent	\$1,250 - \$2,000	\$1,500 - \$2,800	\$1,250 - \$3,250	\$1,700+	<\$2,500	<\$2,500		
Age Range	22 - 35	35 - 55	25-44	45-64	22-35	25-34		×
Market Mix	30%	5%	25%	10%	20%	10%		it M
	STRONG	MODERATE	STONG	MODERATE	STRONG	MODERATE	×	U U
Level of Opportunity	Drawn to convenience and walkable downtown lifestyle, as well as access to major employment drivers.	Comparable draws to younger singles. Separation from hustle and bustle of downtown proper will add to appeal.	Will appreciate new residential community feel coupled with access to employment, possible split commuting.	Strong lifestyle and walkability can attract these mature renters.	Will be somewhat limited by higher rents, but lifestyle proposition will be very appealing.	Convenient yet quiet/safe location w/ job proximity & new product will be appealings. Interest in for- sale townhouse product.	Market Indicated Mix	Current Subject Site Unit Mix
Subject Mix	20%	10%	25%	10%	20%	15%	rket	rren
			Distribution by Unit Type				Ma	Cu
Studio	25%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	0%
Jr. 1B/1b	25%	20%	10%	-	-	-	10%	15%
1B/1b	50%	75%	40%	15%	-	5%	30%	33%
Jr. 2B/1b	-	5%	25%	20%	20%	30%	17%	14%
2B/2b	-	-	25%	40%	70%	50%	32%	33%
3B/3b	-	-	-	25%	10%	15%	7%	5%
	An ideal unit mix for this							

while also offering more traditional 1B and 2B/2b floorplans to appeal to more established singles and couples. Families could be attracted to a small offering of 3B/2b units.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group



Demand Analysis



Exhibit 13

Historical and Projected Job Growth to Apartment Absorption Relationship in Rockingham and Strafford Counties (Region)

REGIONAL JOB GROWTH



With most residents in the market working locally NCG has combined Rockingham and Stafford Counties to focus on regional job growth and track that relationship with regional apartment absorption. Regionally job growth has been strong since the recession, with an average of 3,245 jobs annually, leading to an absorption rate of 3.6 apartments per jobs. There has been a steady increase in absorption since 2000 with the ups and downs based primarily on deliveries (or lack thereof). Moving forward, with continued predicted strong job growth, NCG predicts 7.1 apartments absorbed per 100 net new jobs. In spite of this increase, slower growth nationally and locally will temper overall demand to around 121 units annually, on average.



SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Costar and Economy.com | Moody's Analytics



Exhibit 14

Historical and Projected Demand-Supply Regional Analysis and Estimated Absorption Potential at the Subject Property

Employment Growth in the Region Projected Jobs to New Apt. Absorption In Region		'00-'17 Average 1,992 4.7	'11-'17 Average 3,448 3.9	2017 4,670 5.6	2018 3,482 5.0	2019 1,728 7.0	FORECAST 2020 -303 -20.0	2021 1,148 8.0	2022 2,426 7.0	2018- 2022 Average 1,696 7.3
Est. Supportable New Apt Absorption in Region		93	136	261	174	121	61	92	170	123
							Total Est	t. Demand	2018-2022:	617
Notes:	5 Year Pipeline				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
	Pointe Place,	Ph II			0.25					50
 The numbers found beneath the year columns for each project indicate the percentage of the year the projects are expected to be in lease-up. Unit counts are market rate only. 	Village at Clar	Village at Clark Brook				0.50				144
	Wallace Farm Ph. II (Monahan Dev.)				0.25	0.75				144
	Highfield Commons Ph. II (Chesapeake Dev.)				0.50					56
	Frank Jones Brewery (Chinburgh)				0.25	0.25				68
 Projects that are currently under construction are designated in GREEN. 	Washington Mill (Chinburg Properties)					0.50				54
	Orpheum Apartments (Cathartes)					0.50	0.50			130
3) There are currently 462 units under construction in	Fownes Mill P	h. II (TBD - C	olliers)				0.50	0.25		91
the market.	Ricci Lumber	Site						0.50		50
 There are an additional 325 units planned in the market, bringing total pipeline to 787 units, not including the subject site. 										
 Based on the expected delivery of the subject site and the number of competitive projects in the market at that time, we project the subject site to average 7.0 units per month through the life of its lease-up. 										
 At that lease up pace, we project the subject site to reach stabilization in 41 months, suggesting a need to deliver the project in 2 phases. 	Total, Project	s Known			1.8	2.5	1.0	0.8	0.0	787
	Matriculation	Factor			100%	100%	50%	50%	50%	717
	Subject Site						0.25	1.00		300
 If a one-month concession over and above the market average is provided, we project this lease up pace would increase by 20%, for a pace of 8.4 units 	Total, All Pro	ects w/Matri	culation		1.8	2.5	0.8	1.4	0.0	1,017
per month.	Subject Site					2019	2020	2021	2022	Totals
		Fair Share Capture:					40%	87%		
		Annual Capture: Fair Share Monthly Abs:					24	80		104
			Fa	ir Share Mo	onthly Abs:		8.1	6.7		7.0

w/ 1-Month Free Concession (Above Market Average) on a 12-Mo. Lease During Lease-Up:

8.4



Exhibit 15

Historic and Forecasted Class A & B Vacancy and Rent Growth for the Rockingham and Strafford Counties



Historic vacancies for Class A & B multifamily rental in Rockingham and Strafford counties have averaged 3.7% and have dropped to as low as 1.7% in recent years. In a submarket of this size new deliveries have a significant impact on vacancy rates which can be seen by the heavy delivery years of 2013 and 2017. Planned deliveries in 2018 will push vacancy rates northward. Assuming the subject site delivers 300 units in one phase (a large delivery for this market) vacancy rates could spike as high as 14%. Consideration of delivery in multiple phases would lessen this impact. Rent growth over the same period is expected to climb and average 4.1% annually. Rent growth has averaged around 2% historically. Given strong delivery in the upcoming years (300 at the subject site) vacancies should increase tempering rent growth over the next five years to around 1.6% annually.



SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group and Costar, NHHFA (New Hampshire Housing)





Competitive Multifamily Market & Positioning Analysis


Units

\$/SF

Exhibit 16 Competitive Apartment Community Map



			+· + ·
1	Tara Meadows	270	\$1.42
2	Windshire Gardens	102	\$1.37
3	Princeton Dover	125	\$2.04
Nort	hern Suburbs Average	166	\$1.61
Dow	ntown Dover	Units	\$/SF
4	Riparia	32	\$1.91
5	Picker House	27	\$1.61
6	Cocheco Mills	118	\$1.94
Nort	hern Suburbs Average	59	\$1.82
Sout	thern Suburbs	Units	\$/SF
7	Pointe Place	58	\$2.19
Sout	thern Suburbs Average	58	\$2.19
Port	smouth	Units	\$/SF
8	Portwalk Place	149	\$3.18
9	The Veridian	95	\$2.53
Port	smouth Average	122	\$2.85
Exet		Units	\$/SF
10	Chestnut	48	\$1.90
Exet	ter Average	48	\$1.90
_			
	hern Suburbs Average	166	\$1.61
	ntown Dover Average	59	\$1.82
	thern Suburbs Average	58	\$2.19
	smouth Average	122	\$2.85
Exet	ter Average	48	\$1.90
_			
Aver	rage All	102	\$2.01

Northern Suburbs

Best Comp Average

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Google Earth, Google Maps



\$2.24

89



Exhibit 17

Summary of the Competitive Market by Area and Implication to the Subject Property

Community Name	Submarket	Year Built	% Leased	Total Units	Unit Size Range	Weighted Average Unit Size	Absolute Effective Rent Range	Weighted Average Rent	Weighted Average \$/SF	Percent Less than 2B/2b
1 Tara Meadows	Northern Suburbs	1976	99%	270	392 915	792	\$880 \$1,172	\$1,125	\$1.42	20%
2 Windshire Gardens	Northern Suburbs	2005	100%	102	891 1,089	1,009	\$1,350 \$1,425	\$1,383	\$1.37	0%
3 Princeton Dover	Northern Suburbs	1970	94%	125	539 1,120	723	\$1,329 \$2,000	\$1,478	\$2.04	23%
4 Riparia	Downtown Dover	2015	100%	32	890 1,054	978	\$1,708 \$1,978	\$1,872	\$1.91	0%
5 Picker House	Downtown Dover	2013	100%	27	600 1,166	935	\$1,250 \$1,650	\$1,505	\$1.61	26%
6 Cocheco Mills	Downtown Dover	2013	100%	118	506 1,273	805	\$1,100 \$2,300	\$1,563	\$1.94	53%
7 Pointe Place	Southern Suburbs	2017	100%	58	740 1,117	987	\$1,500 \$2,600	\$2,166	\$2.19	29%
8 Portwalk Place	Portsmouth	2012	97%	149	561 1,528	986	\$2,000 \$5,000	\$3,137	\$3.18	50%
9 The Veridian	Portsmouth	2017	95%	95	615 1,164	900	\$1,600 \$2,850	\$2,276	\$2.53	33%
10 Chestnut	Exeter	2016	100%	48	580 1,114	831	\$1,065 \$2,110	\$1,582	\$1.90	63%

Market Average	2005	98%	102	631	1,154	895	1,378	2,309	1,809	\$2.01	30%
Best Comp Average	2009	98%	89	633	1,196	887	1,472	2,691	2,010	\$2.24	36%
Northern Suburbs Average	1984	98%	166	607	1,041	841	1,186	1,532	1,329	\$1.61	14%
Downtown Dover Average	2014	100%	59	665	1,164	906	1,353	1,976	1,647	\$1.82	26%
Southern Suburbs Average	2013	100%	118	506	1,273	805	1,100	2,300	1,563	\$1.94	53%
Portsmouth Average	2015	96%	122	588	1,346	943	1,800	3,925	2,706	\$2.85	41%
Exeter Average	2016	100%	48	580	1,114	831	1,065	2,110	1,582	\$1.90	63%

The local Dover market is largely fueled by employment access and affordability w/ a few niche offerings such as Riparia appealing to an older, more affluent, empty-nester audience. The nearby Portsmouth market is primarily driven by those seeking a walkable, urban environment w/ a high-level of lifestyle amenities such as restaurants, boutique & national retailers, and nightlife.

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 18





This exhibit presents NCG's subject property mix recommendations against that of the market w/ the bars representing the high & lows of the market and dark diamond representing market average. For the sake of comparison, Junior 1 bedroom units have been combined with true 1 bedroom units. We recommend a mix heavier on 1-bedroom units as well as 3-bedroom units and lighter on larger 2-bedroom units.

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 19

Market Summary Unit Size with Subject Property Recommendations



As with the previous exhibit, NCG has blended the Junior 1 bedrooms w/ the true 1 bedroom for market comparison. The current subject site unit sizes are almost directly in line with market averages across nearly all product lines.



Exhibit 20

Estimated Absolute Rent Premiums By Community and Characteristics for One-Bedroom Units

Factor	Weight	Portwalk Place	The Veridian	Pointe Place	Cocheco Mills	Princeton Dover	Market Average	Market Average Desc.
Community Amenities	15.0%	4.0	3.5	2.0	2.0	3.5	3.0	Clubroom, fitness center, curated outdoor space
Premium Finishes	10.0%	4.0	4.0	3.5	2.0	2.0	3.1	Granite counters, SS appliances, LVT, no W/D
Unit Size / Configuration	20.0%	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	1.0	2.9	Avg 714 sf
Access to Entertainment/Retail	10.0%	5.0	4.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	3.5	Walkable to retail, entertainment
Highway/Job Access	15.0%	4.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.7	Short drive to nearby jobs / highway
Neighborhood Perception	5.0%	5.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.0	3.4	High & improving perception
Access to Greenspace/Trails	7.5%	4.5	2.5	4.5	4.0	2.5	3.6	Walkable to parks & trails, on-site green space
View/Floor Premium	7.5%	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.5	1.0	2.9	Town views for many of the units
Access to Grocery/Brand	7.5%	4.0	3.5	1.5	2.5	4.0	3.1	Short drive to full-service grocer, roughly 1.36 miles
Concessions (Inverse Rel.)	2.5%	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	None
Weighted Ave	erage Score	4.2	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.5	3.2	Market Avg. Rent \$1,773
Estimated Premiu	m/Discount:	32.0%	4.4%	-5.0%	-9.7%	-21.7%	0.0%	
	mated Rent:	\$2,521	\$1,875	\$1,657	\$1,548	\$1,266	\$1,773	Communities ranked on a 1 - 5 scale, with 1 being poor
One Bedroom Actual Ave	rage Rents:	\$2,556	\$1,850	\$1,600	\$1,525	\$1,336	\$1,773	and 5 being strong, relative to all projects in the market.
Mar	gin of Error:	-1%	1%	4%	1%	-5%	0%	

Characteristic	Market Average	Subject Site	Subject Site Prm. To Avg.	Description	
Community Amenities	3.0	3.5	17%	Assumes rooftop, clubroom, fitness center	The results of the best competitor positioning analysis
Premium Finishes	3.1	3.5	13%	Assumes quartz/granite, SS appl., LVT, W/D	indicates that the subject site can support rents of
Unit Size / Configuration	2.9	3.5	21%	Avg 753sf	\$1,911 for a average one-bedroom unit (assuming an average unit size of approximately 753). This pricing
Access to Entertainment/Retail	3.5	3.0	-14%	Cocheco Waterfront retail	equates to an approximate 7.8% absolute premium to
Highway/Job Access	3.7	3.5	-5%	Short drive to Liberty Mutual, Portsmouth	the market average.
Neighborhood Perception	3.4	3.5	3%	Viewed as discount to Portsmouth, but improving	NCG believes pricing is supportable given the site's
Access to Greenspace/Trails	3.6	4.0	11%	Nearby walkable greenspace & trails	Intown Dover location, new Cocheco Waterfront
View/Floor Premium	2.9	3.5	21%	Downtown Dover and river views	investments, above average market finish and
Access to Grocery/Brand	3.1	2.5	-19%	Full-service grocer across river	amenity offerings.
Concessions (Inverse Rel.)	3.0	3.0	0%	Market average	These rents assume no concessions (e.g. property at
	3.21	3.40	*Equates to a 2	2.3% premium to the market average	stabilization).
Estimated Rent (2018 \$):	\$1,773	\$1,911	Forecasted bas	sed on demonstrated rents & scores (+/- 2%)	
	\$2.48	\$2.54	*Assuming avg	. unit size of approx. 753 sf	



Exhibit 21

Comparison of Recommended Positioning for the Subject Site Relative to Key Competitors in the Market - Weighted Average



NCG's recommended program and positioning for the Cocheco Waterfront site allows for the project to achieve a 6.9% premium to the competitive market average in terms of \$/SF, while keeping absolute rents at a discount to Portsmouth-oriented communities such as The Veridian and Portwalk Place. This is achievable due to a strong location and a unit mix heavy on 1-bedroom units.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group based on surveys of properties.

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 22



Overall, NCG's recommended rents fall well within the competitive market average, w/ the higher rent range pushed by new Class A product in Portsmouth. A strong location at Cocheco Waterfront contributes to absolute rents slightly higher than the existing market average on the whole.





Exhibit 23

Recommended Product Program for the Subject Site

Unit Type	Target Audience	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Avg. Size	Effective \$/SF	Straight Average \$/SF	Est. Lease Up Pace	Lease-Up Exposure
Jr. 1B/1b	Mostly singles	45	15%	\$1,650 \$1,750	\$1,700	590 665	628	\$2.63 - \$2.80	\$2.71	Assumes fully staff	ed, on-site leasing
1B/1b			33%	\$1,825 \$2,000	\$1,913	690 815	753	\$2.45 - \$2.64	\$2.54	center and no conce month above mark	
Jr. 2B/1b	Roommates, some couples/families	42	14%	\$2,150 \$2,300	\$2,225	890 990	957	\$2.32 - \$2.42	\$2.32	results in an average	
2B/2b	Couples, matures, and roommates	99	33%	\$2,425 \$2,675	\$2,550	1,040 1,215	1,128	\$2.20 - \$2.33	\$2.26	pao	ce.
3B/2b	Some families w/ kids (interest in TH)	15	5%	\$2,750 \$2,950	\$2,850	1,240 1,390	1,315	\$2.12 \$2.22	\$2.17		
Totals/Avgs		300	100%	\$1,650 \$2,950	\$2,182	590 1,390	914	\$2.12 - \$2.80	\$2.39	7.0/mo.	43.1 Months
								With 1-month co	8.4/mo.	35.9 Months	
With 1-month concession and 15% pre-leased: 30.5 I										30.5 Months	

- Base rent range includes anticipated floor, view, and corner premiums

- 1-1.25 Recommended residential parking ratio/unit (based on demonstrated occupancy at comp. communities)
- 300-375 Recommended residential parking spaces (some can be provided off-site)
- 15-20 Additional recommended residential guest/leasing spaces (can be in retail spaces)
- 315-395 Total parking spaces including guest/leasing and off-site





Competitive Townhouse Market & Positioning Analysis

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 30

Competitive/Analogous Townhome Community Map



SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group, Google Map



Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 31

Summary of Actively-Selling* and Resale Townhouse Communities (Last 36 Months)

		Year Opened	Product Type	Units Built	Unit Type	Avg. Unit Size	Resale Unit Mix	Unit Price Range	Avg. Price	Avg. \$/SF	Comments
					2B/1.5b	1,377	31%	\$215,000 \$242,000	\$230,975	\$176	
		2008	3-story w/ attached 1-car	36	2B/2.25b	1,508	8%	\$223,000 \$223,000	\$223,000	\$148	Balcony, flex room off
Townsend Dr		2000	garage		3B/1.5b	1,433	46%	\$215,000 \$232,000	\$223,656	\$/SF Comments 5 \$176 Balcony, flex room off garage 6 \$162 garage 0 \$162 garage 4 \$165 On Cocheco River 0 \$1185 On Cocheco River 0 \$1184 Across river from DT Dover 7 \$165 Across river from DT Dover 7 \$165 Private balconies, adjacent to park/hiking trails, restaurants, shops) 0 \$180 \$55+, amenitized (onsite gym, walking trails, restaurants, shops) 0 \$162 Private balconies 7 \$180 \$55+, amenitized (onsite gym, walking trails, restaurants, shops) 0 \$162 Private balconies 7 \$163 \$162	
					3B/2.5b	1,392	15%	\$225,000 \$225,000	\$225,000		
			HOA Fee: \$200/mo			1,415	100%	\$215,000 \$242,000	\$226,064	\$165	
					3B/1.75b	1,214	25%	\$155,000 \$155,000	\$155,000	\$128	
Frances Dr		2006	2/3 story w/ 0/1-car garage	10	3B/2b	1,515	25%	\$155,000 \$250,000	\$202,500	\$165	On Cocheco River
Trances Di					3B/2.25b	2,134	50%	\$287,000 \$287,000	\$287,000	\$134	
			HOA Fee: \$171/mo			1,749	100%	\$155,000 \$287,000	\$232,875	\$140	
Capital Dr		2005	2 story w/ surface parking	7	2B/1.5b	1,209	100%	\$183,000 \$200,000	\$188,667	\$165	
			HOA Fee: \$120/mo			1,209	100%	\$183,000 \$200,000	\$188,667	\$165	
Trestle Way		2005	3 story w/ 2-car garage	20	2B/1.5b	1,440	100%	\$175,000 \$207,000	\$192,667	\$144	adjacent to park/hiking
	The second second		HOA Fee: \$610/mo			1,440	100%	\$175,000 \$207,000	\$192,667	\$144	
Pointe Place (*New/Active		2018	3 story w/ 1-car garage	20	2B/1.5b	1,804	100%	\$312,900 \$324,900	\$319,100	\$180	gym, walking trails,
Selling)			HOA Fee: \$250/mo			1,804	100%	\$312,900 \$324,900	\$319,100	\$180	
Patriot Dr		2009	3 story w/ 2-car garage	6	3B/2b	1,705	33%	\$155,000 \$276,000	\$276,000	\$162	Private balconies
			o olo, y 2 our gurugo		3B/2.5b	1,637	67%	\$257,900 \$257,933	\$257,917		
			HOA Fee: \$130/mo			1,660	100%	\$155,000 \$276,000	\$263,944	\$159	

Resale Averages	1,495	\$176,600 \$242,400	\$220,843	\$155
New Listing Averages	1,804	\$312,900 \$324,900	\$319,100	\$180

SOURCE: NCG, RedFin

Noe Consulting Group

Exhibit 32

Key Competitors in the Market - Weighted Averages



Due to advantages in location, size, and age we expect the subject site to be positioned well above the existing townhouse comp set in both absolute and \$/SF rates. Advantages include a higher level of walkability, park/river orientations, and superior execution to other existing comparables in the market.

SOURCE: Noell Consulting Group based on surveys of properties.



Exhibit 33

Recommended Development Program for Subject Property Townhouses

18' product lineAverage Base Price:\$319,103 Stories with attached 1 car garageUnit Size:1,8042 bed/2.5 bathAvg. \$/SF:\$177
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
2 bed/2.5 bath Avg. \$/SF: \$177
Justification Adj. Pri
Based on 50% of the \$/SF times size difference. Adj. To Subject Site Unit Size: -\$16,305 \$302,7
Location adjustment based on median incomes and home prices Location Adjustment: 20.0% \$363,3
■ Slight decrease in finish quality Finish Adjustment: 2.5% \$372,4
Additional full bath Bath Adjustment: \$5,000 \$377,4
No 18' recommended near park Park Premium: 0.0% \$0
Subject Site 3-Story 18' TH Pricing: \$372,4
Pointe Place compared to Subject 1,980 SF
22' product line Average Base Price: \$319,1
3 Stories with attached 2 car garage Unit Size: 1,804
3 bed/2.5 bath Avg. \$/SF: \$177
Justification Adj. Pri
Based on 50% of the \$/SF times size difference. Adj. To Subject Site Unit Size: \$15,527 \$334,6
Location adjustment based on median incomes and home prices Location Adjustment: 20.0% \$401,5
■ 22' recommended near park Park Premium: 10.0% \$368,00
 Slight decrease in finish quality Additional full bath Additional full bath
 Additional full bath 22' recommended near park Bath Adjustment: \$75,000 \$382,2' Garage Adjustment: \$75,000 \$457,2'
Subject Site 3-Story 22' TH Pricing: \$457,2

NCG Recommended Program

Unit Pace/ Mo. To	Ave Deee		Ave Unit	
Unit Pace/ Mo. To Unit Dim. Unit Type Base Unit Config. Count Unit Mix Mo. Sell Out Base Price Range	Avg. Base Price	Unit Size Range	Size	Avg. Base \$/SF
18' x 35' 2B/2.5b 3 story w/ 1 car garage 30 60% \$372,000 - \$387,000		1.620 - 1.620	1.620	\$234
22' x 35' 3B/2.5b 3 story w/ 2 car garage 20 40% \$457,000 - \$472,000	\$464.500	1.980 - 1.980	1.980	\$235
22 x 35 3B/2.5b 3 story w/ 2 car garage 20 40% \$457,000 - \$472,000	1 - 7	1,620 - 1,980	1,980	\$235 \$234

We recommend development of two townhouse product lines, including a smaller 18' townhouse and a larger 22' unit. The smaller units will likely appeal more to singles, including more mature singles, while the 20' units will be split between mature singles and couples. We except locational advantages and associated walkability, job access, as well as the appeal of incorporation into Cocheco Waterfront mixed-use setting will promote achievement of considerable premium over existing townhouse product in the wider market. Base price ranges above include a \$15,000 premium for TH end



Appendix

Appendix 1

Competitive Rental Community - Tara Meadows (731 Tri City Rd)

	Dev	eloper Name			,	App. + Admin. Fee:	\$40					
		Owner Name	John J. Flat	ley Company		Pet Fees:	No Pets Allov	ved				2.9M
E	Property Ma	anager Name	John J. Flat	ley Company		Other Fees:	\$25 / mo 6'x9	' storage				
ERVIEW		Year Built	1976			Parking Fees:	-					
OVE	Numb	er Of Stories	3			Parking Type:	Surface					
Ŭ	Lea	ase Up Pace:	-			Total Spaces	398					
	v	Valk Score®:	(45) Car-De	ependent		Spaces/Bed:	0.82		TI			
SUMMARY	Mark	ket Audience:				the audience seemed to be propund people primarily work in a						
SUMI	Buildi	ng Summary:	Aged building	with upgraded a	amenity offering	g, clubroom, and on-site manag	gement.					Contract of
×	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
PROGRAM	Studio	8	3%	0	100.0%	\$880 \$926	\$903	392 392	392	1 month	\$2.24 \$2.36	\$2.30
ß	1B/1b	46	17%	0	100.0%	\$990 \$1,172	\$1,085	600 817	709	free on vacant	\$1.43 \$1.65	\$1.53
PRODUCT PI	2B/1b	216	80%	4	98.1%	\$1,114 \$1,169	\$1,142	733 915	824	units	\$1.28 \$1.52	\$1.39
	SUMMARY:	270	100%	4	98.5%	\$880 \$1,172	\$1,125	392 915	792		\$1.28 \$2.36	\$1.42
		nt and Finish:	8' ceilings, f	inished								
	Lighting	and Fixtures:	Surface						1 1 1			
E S		Flooring:	Vinyl tile in	kitchen & batl	n, carpet in b	ed				I de	1	
	Cabinets ar	nd Hardware:	Dated inset	cabinets w/ k	nob pulls				and a state of the		31 345	40.00
AMENITI		Countertops:	Laminate co	ounters, over	mount sinks,	cultured marble in bath				A CHARTER OF		-
DA	Appliar	nce Package:	Dated white	appliances;	gas range							5
AND	Laundry and	d Bath Detail:	Communal	laundry facilit	ies						-	
				ows; all units			m. M		-			
FINISHES	Commu	nal Features: nity Features nd Amenities:		court, tennis c	court, busine	ss center, fitness center,	playground, p	ool.				
									27.00	14.20		

Noe Consulting Group

Appendix 2

Competitive Rental Community - Princeton Dover Apartments (8 Northway Cir)

	Dev	eloper Name	-			App. + Admin. Fee:	\$50 applicatio	n fee	-			100	
		Owner Name	Princeton P	Properties		Pet Fees:	\$30/mo cat, \$	50/mo dog		1 Contra			
IEV	Property Ma	anager Name	Princeton P	Properties		Other Fees:	-						
RV		Year Built	1970 (2014	Renovation)		Parking Fees:	-						
Ň	Numb	per Of Stories	3			Parking Type:	Surface		anni D	1			
	Le	ase Up Pace:	-			Total Spaces	202						
	Walk Score®: (21) Car-Dependent					Spaces/Bed:	0.89						
MARY						(Liberty Mutual is a big emplo nilies. 80% 35 years old or le		singles, 30% couples;				8-14	
SUMI	Buildi	ng Summary:		complex that un we done the clul		tion in 2014 to modernize the gym so far.	kitchens. Currer	tly upgrading amenities					
_	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF	
ZAN	1B/1b	23	18%	0	100.0%	\$1,329 \$1,369	\$1,336	539 650	558	Nono	\$2.11 \$2.47	\$2.40	
PROGF	2B/1b			93.1%	\$1,489 \$2,000	\$1,510	745 1,120	760	None	\$1.79 \$2.00	\$1.99		

PRODUCT

	SUMMARY:	125	100%	7	94.4%	\$1,329 \$2,000	\$1,478	539 1,120	723	\$1.79 \$2.47	\$2.04
	Ceiling Height	and Finish:	8' ceilings, t	finished							
	Lighting an	nd Fixtures:	Surface atta	ached lighting	g					10000	650
Ш Ш		Flooring:	LVT in kitch	ien, carpet in	living & beds	i					
Ē	Cabinets and	Hardware:	Shaker cab	inets w/ brus	hed nickel pu	lls					
ЧË)	Co	ountertops:	Granite cou	inters in the l	kitchen w/ und	lermount sinks					
Ā	Appliance	e Package:	Stainless st	eel appliance	es w/ electric	coil range					
AND	Laundry and E	Bath Detail:	Community	washer & dr	yer in each bi	uilding					1
ES	Windows and	Balconies:	Standard p	unch window	s, no balconie	es				· · ·	1
ISH	Additiona	al Features:	Glass subw	ay tile backs	plashes						
FINISH	Communit and	ty Features Amenities:	Pool, gym,	dog run, play	ground, and s	some open space for ac	tivities.				

Source: Noell Consulting Group, CoStar

Appendix 3

	endix 3 ipetitive Re	ntal Comr	nunity - F	Riparia (10	0 1st St)							
	Dev	eloper Name	Bamford &	McEneaney		App. + Admin. Fee:	-		and survey	100		
		Owner Name	e Bamford D	edoploulous	& Regan	Pet Fees:	No pets		and stated on the		Run-	
OVERVIEW	Property Ma	anager Name	e Riparia			Other Fees:	-			RIP		
IRV		Year Buil	t 2015			Parking Fees:	-					I VIC
OVE	Numb	per Of Stories	5 5			Parking Type:	Surface				HHAR AR O O	
	Le	ase Up Pace	: -			Total Spaces	-					
	١	Walk Score®	: (86) Very V	Nalkable		Spaces/Bed:	0.47					
SUMMARY	Marl	ket Audience	: Primarily all	empty-nester / ro	etirees.					BBBY LUB		
SUM	Buildi	ng Summary	Only new pro	oduct in Downtov as unoccupied a	wn Dover w/ all u t time of study.	units being 2B/2b and geared t	owards a more r	mature audience. Ground				
Σ	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
PRODUCT PROGRAM	2B/2b 32 100% 0 100%					\$1,708 \$1,978	\$1,872	890 1,054	978	None	\$1.88 \$1.92	\$1.91
	SUMMARY	: 32	100%	0	100%	\$1,708 \$1,978	\$1,872	890 1,054	978		\$1.88 \$1.92	\$1.91
	Ceiling Heig	ht and Finish	: 10' ceilings	s, finished							XXX	
	Lighting	and Fixtures	: High-end (Restoration F	lardware) & n	nodern lighting package				11		E.
ES		Flooring	: Wide hard	wood floors ir	n kitchen & liv	ing, carpet in bed, tile in b	bath	3				
Ē	Cabinets a	nd Hardware	: White shal	ker, soft-close	e cabinetry, w	brushed nickel pulls		G			A ANTA	
Ξ		Countertops	: Marble cou	unters in kitch	en & bath w/	undermount sinks		F		-		1
A D		-			-	de fridge, electric smooth	top range	-		2 a		
AN .	-			dryer in unit,						~		
ES				ounch window	-							
FINISHES AND AMENITIES				rown-molding					0) 1) 0			
	a	nd Amenities	: system, on	ed sidewalk & n-site retail (er		event snow accumulatior dy date)	n / ice. Elevat	or w/ intercom ca				
Sourc	e Noell Consul	Itina Group (CoStar									

Noe Consulting Group

Appendix 4

Competitive Rental Community - Cocheco Mills (383 Central Ave)

-	•	-	, -		\	/						
	Dev	veloper Name				App. + Admin. Fee:	\$30 app			Ter	2	ant 27
		Owner Name	Chinburg F	Properties Inc.		Pet Fees:	No pets			and the second second		
OVERVIEW	Property M	lanager Name	Chinburg -	Chocheco Mi	lls	Other Fees:	-					
IR		Year Built	2013			Parking Fees:	1 pass per ter	nant		SAL MARKED		
No No	Num	ber Of Stories	4			Parking Type:	Surface and s	structured	11: 20			
		ease Up Pace:				Total Spaces		,	1			
		Walk Score®:	(87) Very V	Valkable		Spaces/Bed:	Approx075	on site	ALL OF	State of the state	The Labor	
SUMMARY	Mar	ket Audience:	Strong young unique loft liv		dience w/ some	e students and mature profession	onals looking for	Downtown Dover living 8			C. CAR	-
SUMI	Build	ing Summary:				nvestment in interiors and com por spaces being retail.	munal spaces. E	Building is mixed used, w		1		
_	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
ZAN	Studio	38	32%	0	100%	\$1,100 \$1,350	\$1,225	506 708	607		\$1.91 \$2.17	\$2.02
0GF	Loft	13	11%	0	100%	\$1,250 \$1,400	\$1,325	705 773	739		\$1.77 \$1.81	\$1.79
PRO	1B/1b	24	20%	0	100%	\$1,450 \$1,600	\$1,525	590 864	727	None	\$1.85 \$2.46	\$2.10
CT	1B/1.5b/TH	1	1%	0	100%	\$2,100 \$2,300	\$2,200	1,237 1,237	1,237		\$1.70 \$1.86	\$1.78
PRODUCT PROGRAM	2B/1b	42	36%	0	100%	\$1,700 \$2,200	\$1,950	803 1,273	1,038		\$1.73 \$2.12	\$1.88
PR												
	SUMMARY	′ : 118	100%	0	100%	\$1,100 \$2,300	\$1,563	506 1,273	805		\$1.70 \$2.46	\$1.94
	Ceiling Heig	ght and Finish:	Exposed co	eilings & ductv	work				-	A Solution		
	Lighting	and Fixtures:		-	dants						8	
IES		U	Concrete fl									
LIN	Cabinets a	and Hardware:	Ű								7 10 10 -	
ME	A	•		on phase, Ri	Ũ							
ļ	Appila	Ŭ			••	ces, electric range						
A A	Laundry an	and Balconies:				laundry rooms			1924			
Ë	Additio											
FINISHES AND AMENITIES	Commi	Additional Features: Exposed brick, true loft living Community Features and Amenities: Fitness room, clubroom, bike storage								2		



Noe Consulting Group

Appendix 5

Competitive Rental Community - Picker House Mill Apartments (42 Main St)

	Developer Name	-	App. + Admin. Fee:	\$30 app
_	Owner Name	Chinburg Properties Inc.	Pet Fees:	\$40/mo
<u>N</u>	Property Manager Name	Chinburg - The Picker House	Other Fees:	-
'ERVIEW	Year Built	2013	Parking Fees:	Free, first come first serve
OVE	Number Of Stories	2	Parking Type:	Surface
Ŭ	Lease Up Pace:	-	Total Spaces	17
	Walk Score®:	(87) Very Walkable	Spaces/Bed:	0.36
AARY	Market Audience:	Primary young professionals seeking Downtov	wn Dover location and auther	ntic loft living.
SUMMAR	Building Summary:	Mill conversion w/ boutique feel due to small u	unit count. Bare bones conve	ersion w/ little put into communal spaces.





<	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
ZAN	1B/1b	7	26%	0	100.0%	\$1,250 \$1,500	\$1,375	600 948	774	Nono	\$1.58 \$2.08	\$1.78
00	2B/1b	20	74%	0	100.0%	\$1,450 \$1,650	\$1,550	816 1,166	991	None	\$1.42 \$1.78	\$1.56

PRODUCT PROGRAM

	SUMMARY: 27	100%	0	100.0%	\$1,250 \$1,650	\$1,505	600 1,166	935	\$1.42 \$2.08	\$1.61		
	Ceiling Height and Finish	Exposed ce	iling, ducts					TE				
	Lighting and Fixtures	: Farmhouse	pendants									
S E S	Flooring	Mix of tile, o	arpet, and h	ardwoods								
NITIES	Cabinets and Hardware	: Wood grain	ed shaker ca	abinets								
ME	Countertops	: Laminate co	ounters							A STREET		
A	Appliance Package	: White appli	ances, electi	ic coil range								
AND	Laundry and Bath Detail	: On-site laur	ndry room							/		
E S H	Windows and Balconies	Oversized i	ndustrial win	dows								
ISH	Additional Features	Exposed br	ick walls, au	thentic loft livir	ng							
FINISHES	Community Features and Amenities	Bicycle stor	age, WiFi in	cluded								



Noe Consulting Group

Appendix 6

Competitive Rental Community - The Residences at 27 Chestnut Street (27 Chestnut St)

	Developer Name	Chinburg Builders Inc	App. + Admin. Fee:	\$35 app
	Owner Name	Chinburg Properties Inc.	Pet Fees:	\$150 upfront, \$30-\$40 / mo
Ň	Property Manager Name	Chinburg Properties Inc.	Other Fees:	-
RV	Year Built	2016	Parking Fees:	Free, \$75 / mo underground
OVE	Number Of Stories	4	Parking Type:	Surface & underground
	Lease Up Pace:	-	Total Spaces	34 garages, approx. 66 total
	Walk Score®:	(83) Very Walkable	Spaces/Bed:	Approx. 1
~				



Building Summary: Popular for location near downtown and river. "Good niche" not super fancy but nice finishes (granite and slate). Would not do much differently.

Market Audience: Few families; a lot of singles (of all ages) retirees/empty nesters, young professionals, and split commuters.

Σ	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
IRA	1B/1b	30	63%	0	100.0%	\$1,065 \$1,575	\$1,320	580 827	704		\$1.84 \$1.90	\$1.88
000	2B/1b	2	4%	0	100.0%	\$1,975 \$2,100	\$2,038	1,019 1,036	1,028	None	\$1.94 \$2.03	\$1.98
F PR	2B/2b	16	33%	0	100.0%	\$1,925 \$2,110	\$2,018	975 1,114	1,045	NONE	\$1.89 \$1.97	\$1.93

SUMMAR

	SUMMARY:	48	100%	0	100.0%	\$1,065 \$2,110	\$1,582	580 1,114	831		\$1.84 \$2.0)3	\$1.90
	Ceiling Height	and Finish:	9' ceilings, f	finished							2		200
	Lighting a	nd Fixtures:	Modern ligh	iting package	w/ recessed	cans, pendants, and su	rface attached		1		12. 1	300	
В		Flooring:	LVT flooring	g in living & k	itchen, carpet	in bed							
E	Cabinets and	d Hardware:	Shaker cab	inets w/ soft-	close drawers	& brushed nickel pulls						- main	
He l	C	Countertops:	Granite cou	inters in kitch	en & bath w/	undermount sinks			- A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		These of the second		
A	Applianc	e Package:	Slate applia	inces								-	
AND	Laundry and	Bath Detail:	Communal	laundry					SEAMON	AN HON		land-	
ES	Windows and	d Balconies:	Punch wind	lows, all units	w/ balconies				A CONTRACTOR	A DOLL	2 2 1 - <u>-</u>	100 (R. 10)	94.
IISH	Additiona	al Features:									1000	and	三十五人
FIN	Commun and	ity Features I Amenities:	Fitness roo	m, pet spa, c	lub room, dar	ice/movement studio, pa	atio w/ firepit, cy	ber lounge					



Appendix 7

Competitive Rental Community - The Veridian Residences (70 Constitution Ave)

	Developer Name	Waterstone / Mount Vernon	App. + Admin. Fee:	\$25 app fee			
	Owner Name	Waterstone / Mount Vernon	Pet Fees:	\$25 / mo per pet			
OVERVIEW	Property Manager Name	Torrington	Other Fees:	-			
RV	Year Built	2017	Parking Fees:	-			
Š	Number Of Stories	4	Parking Type:	Surface			
	Lease Up Pace:	7.25 units / month	Total Spaces	150			
	Walk Score®:	(51) Somewhat Walkable	Spaces/Bed:	0.93			
ARY		6 leases have been signed by retirees, the others have been young professionals working in the area - primarily at Pease International, naval employees, & those working at small firms around town.					



Building Summary: The second true Class-A product to come to Portsmouth. The building is part of the redevelopment of an older shopping center to create a walkable, mixed-use center.

5	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
RA	Studio	4	4%	0	100%	\$1,600 \$1,600	\$1,600	615 615	615		\$2.60 \$2.60	\$2.60
00	1B/1b	25	26%	2	92%	\$1,850 \$1,850	\$1,850	684 748	703	None	\$2.47 \$2.70	\$2.63
R	2B/2b	63	66%	3	95%	\$2,460 \$2,460	\$2,460	890 1,071	983	None	\$2.30 \$2.76	\$2.50
JCT	3B/2b	3	3%	0	100%	\$2,850 \$2,850	\$2,850	1,164 1,164	1,164		\$2.45 \$2.45	\$2.45

PRODUCT PROGRAM

SUMMAR

SUMMARY: 95 100% 5 95% \$1,600 \$2,850 \$2.276 \$2.30 \$2.76 \$2.53 615 1,164 900 Ceiling Height and Finish: 9' ceilings standard, finished Lighting and Fixtures: Recessed lights; modern lighting package in kitchen & bath Flooring: LVT flooring in kitchen & living, carpet in bed, tile in bath FINISHES AND AMENITI Cabinets and Hardware: White shaker cabinets, some exposed shelving Countertops: Quartz counters in kitchen & bath Appliance Package: Stainless steel appliances Laundry and Bath Detail: Washer & dryer included in all apartments Windows and Balconies: Oversized punch windows; Roughly 25% of units w/ balconies Additional Features: Community Features Fitness center, coffee bar, social lounge w/ game room, pet spa, WiFi throughout community and Amenities:



Appendix 8

Competitive Rental Community - The Residences at Portwalk Place (7 Portwalk Pl)

	Developer Name	Cathartes Investments	App. + Admin. Fee:	-
	Owner Name	Cathartes Investments	Pet Fees:	\$35-\$60 / per pet monthly rent
OVERVIEW	Property Manager Name	Winn	Other Fees:	\$25-\$175 / storage
IRV	Year Built	2012	Parking Fees:	1 Free, \$200 / mo garage
NE	Number Of Stories	5	Parking Type:	Structured & surface
	Lease Up Pace:	-	Total Spaces	1 Per unit + guest
	Walk Score®:	(96) Walker's Paradise	Spaces/Bed:	0.77
~		Prood mix 60% are 55+ 30 35% are under 3	5 Studios primarily optry la	val professionale 1Rs 50/50 between

Broad mix - 60% are 55+, 30-35% are under 35. Studios primarily entry level professionals, 1Bs 50/50 between Market Audience: young and mature professionals, 2B - mature couples, 3B - retirees

Rare Class A product in area w/ great Downtown Portsmouth location. Able to push pricing on lower end units Building Summary: Rare Class A product in a carw, grout Sommon Comments (studios, 1B), tougher to push 2Bs due to market depth / unit layout.



5	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF
RAI	Studio	11	7%	0	100.0%	\$2,000 \$2,000	\$2,000	561 684	652		\$2.92 \$3.57	\$3.07
00	1B/1b	59	40%	1	98.3%	\$2,400 \$2,840	\$2,556	677 1,002	805		\$2.83 \$3.55	\$3.17
PR	1B/2b	4	3%	0	100.0%	\$3,300 \$3,400	\$3,350	1,194 1,194	1,194	None	\$2.76 \$2.85	\$2.81
JCT	2B/2b	67	45%	3	95.5%	\$3,400 \$4,000	\$3,630	1,015 1,389	1,125		\$2.88 \$3.35	\$3.23
OD	3B/2b	8	5%	0	100%	\$4,500 \$5,000	\$4,750	1,476 1,528	1,515		\$3.05 \$3.27	\$3.14

\$3.137

\$2.000 \$5.000

PRODUCT PROGRAM

SUMMAR

561 1,528	986	\$2.76 \$3.57
	561 1,528	561 1,528 986 Image: Constraint of the second s

Source: Noell Consulting Group, CoStar

Additional Features:

and Amenities:

SUMMARY:

149

Ceiling Height and Finish: 9' ceilings, finished

100%

Lighting and Fixtures: Modern surface mounts & pendants,

Laundry and Bath Detail: W/D included, tile shower surround

4

Flooring: Tile in bath, carpet in living, hardwood in kitchen

Windows and Balconies: Mix of standard & oversized punch windows, Juliet's, some patios

Community Features TV lounge, fitness center, business center, sundeck w/ firepit

Appliance Package: Stainless steel appliances, French door fridge, electric smooth top range

Cabinets and Hardware: Flat-slab wood cabinets, full overlay, brushed nickel pulls Countertops: Granite counters in kitchen & bath, undermount sink

97.3%



\$3.18

Noe Consulting Group

Appendix 9

Competitive Rental Community - Windshire Gardens (73 Webb PI)

0011														
	Developer Name -				App. + Admin. Fee:	-								
	Owner Name		Yvon Cormier Construction Corp		Pet Fees:	Cats only - \$	500 deposit				3			
OVERVIEW	Property M	lanager Name	Windshire Gardens			Other Fees:	-		Be					
N.		Year Built	2005			Parking Fees:	Parking Fees: -							
N N	Num	ber Of Stories	3			Parking Type: Surface			and '		Mar and			
	Le	ease Up Pace:	-			Total Spaces	otal Spaces 201							
		Walk Score®:	(10) Car-De	ependent		Spaces/Bed:	0.99							
SUMMARY	Mar	WND												
SUM	Build	ing Summary:	One of the ne Commodity p	wer garden apa roduct with cheo	rtments in the D ck the box amen	over area w/ good highway ac ities and on-site leasing.	ccess and proxin	nity to retail and jobs.			L	0		
5	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF		
PRODUCT PROGRAM	2B/2b	102	100%	0	100.0%	\$1,350 \$1,425	\$1,383	891 1,089	1,009	None	\$1.31 \$1.52	\$1.37		
	SUMMARY	′: 102	100%	0	100.0%	\$1,350 \$1,425	\$1,383	891 1,089	1,009		\$1.31 \$1.52	\$1.37		
		ght and Finish:	8', finished											
	Lighting and Fixtures: Dated surface attached lighting													
ŝ	Flooring: Vinyl flooring in kitchen & bath, carpet					t in living & bedrooms			1.			The first		
Ē	Cabinets a	and Hardware:	Old wood s	haker cabine	ts w/ knob pu	Ills			ii ii		Diere I			
l e	Countertops: Laminate counters in kitchen, cultured					d marble in bath			- Det					
A	Applia	nce Package:	Mix of old w	vhite & black	appliances, e	lectric coil range				- 4 P				
AND	Laundry and Bath Detail: Washer & dryer included									2000		K		
ËS	Windows and Balconies: Punch windows, all units w/ balconies					6				1-				
FINISHES AND AMENITIES	Additional Features: Community Features and Amenities:					, pool, gym, basketball co	ourt							

Appendix 10

Competitive Rental Community - Pointe Place (60 Pointe Place)

	•		•		•	,							
	Dev	/eloper Name	Pointe Place Development			App. + Admin. Fee: \$25 / None							
		Owner Name	Pointe Place Development			Pet Fees: \$25/mo							
OVERVIEW	Property Manager Name Summit Land Development					Storage Fee:							
IRV	Year Built 2017					Parking Fees:			NO AN				
OVE	Number Of Stories 3					Parking Type: Surface				- DA			
	Le	ase Up Pace:	7/mo (inconsistent delivery)			Total Spaces -			K KO F			N. Ber	
	١	Walk Score®:	(22) Car-dependent			Spaces/Bed: N/A							
SUMMARY	Mar	ket Audience:	Workforce ter	nants in Dover a	nd Portsmouth								
SUMI	Buildi	ng Summary:				one of a few options in Dover , entertainment, etc	, barebones ame	enities and very limited					
_	Unit Type	Unit Count	Unit Mix	Available Units	Percent Leased	Quoted Effective Rent Range	Avg. Rent	Unit Size Range	Weighted Avg. Size	Current Conc.	Effective \$/SF Range	Avg. \$/SF	
ZAN	1B/1b	17	29%	0	100.0%	\$1,500 \$1,700	\$1,600	740 981	778	1mo on	\$1.73 \$2.03	\$2.06	
OGF	2B/2b	41	71%	0	100.0%	\$2,200 \$2,600	\$2,400	1,074 1,117	1,074	25mo lease	\$2.05 \$2.33	\$2.23	
PRODUCT PROGRAM	*phased project	t with odd delive	ry schedule - t	ypes and sizes a	are based on lea	sing manager interview]						
	SUMMARY	: 58	100%	0	100.0%	\$1,500 \$2,600	\$2,166	740 1,117	987		\$1.73 \$2.33	\$2.19	
	Ceiling Heig	ht and Finish:	9' ceilings,	finished									
	Lighting	Lighting and Fixtures: Recessed cans and modern surface mounts, light/fan combos in bed									aller -		
B	A Flooring: Vinyl HW in living/kitchen, tile in bath, carpet in beds												
Ē	Cabinets and Hardware: 46" modern shaker with light or dark stain on wood cabinets, brushed nickel pulls												
ME	Countertops: Granite counters in kitchen & bath, undermount sink												
A D	Appliance Package: Entry level SS appliances, electric smooth top range										IN		
ANI	Laundry and Bath Detail: W/D included, combo of shower/tub combo and cheap walk in showers										CALLS.	5 F 1	
ES	Windows and Balconies: Punch windows, no patios/balconies											Land L	
FINISHES AND AMENITIES		onal Features:											
	Community Features and Amenities: Very limited, fitness center, basic lounge, walking trails around attached pond								ġ				



May 16, 2018

Cocheco Waterfront Development Advisory Committee 288 Central Avenue Dover, NH 03820

Re: Request for Proposals Cocheco Waterfront Development Developer Qualifications and Financial Capacity

By way of introduction, I am a Senior Vice President of Commercial Real Estate Financing for People's United Bank, a strong Regional Bank with a significant presence in and commitment to the Seacoast New Hampshire Region, including offices in downtown Dover and Portsmouth.

Throughout the past eight years I have done business with Cathartes and its principals James Goldenberg and Jeff Johnston and I am pleased to have the opportunity to provide information to you regarding the longstanding banking relationships that I, personally, and People's United Bank, have enjoyed with this company and its principals.

During the course of our relationship with Cathartes, the Bank has provided more than \$170,000,000 in construction and permanent financing for six properties, which have included a variety of property types, such as mixed-use multifamily, hotel and condominiums, and the principals have always performed as agreed. Throughout these years, the principals have consistently exhibited a savvy knowledge of their markets, an impressive ability to attract and maintain high quality tenants, strong property repositioning and construction expertise, and always a high level of integrity. In an effort to further our relationship with Cathartes, People's has issued a commitment for construction and permanent financing for the firm's Orpheum Apartments project in downtown Dover on Washington Street, with a closing anticipated in May 2018.

Cathartes is highly regarded in the commercial real estate industry, and as I'm sure you are aware, the firm has been recognized as the developer responsible for transforming Portsmouth's former underutilized Parade Office Mall in the city's Northern Tier, creating a destination that includes 149 apartment units, 379 hotel rooms and nearly 50,000 SF of ground floor retail and restaurant activity, which has served to reconnect the area to downtown Portsmouth and further spur additional investment in the neighborhood following its success. People's is proud to have financed multiple phases of this project, including one of the hotels as well as the mixed-use multifamily component.

We have had preliminary discussions regarding the proposed site with Cathartes, and People's United Bank would be very interested in reviewing the opportunity to finance the proposed project for the Cocheco Waterfront Development by the Cathartes team and acknowledge that the project would be transformational for Dover's historic waterfront and provide further economic development for the City and its residents, making Cathartes a well-suited and ideal partner with the City for this opportunity.

People's United Bank would certainly welcome the chance to further expand our relationship with Cathartes and to pursue their financing needs in connection with this unique and exciting project in the heart of Dover's historic waterfront. (People's United Bank is the largest regional bank headquartered in New England, with \$40+ billion in assets, providing substantial lending capacity.)

I'm happy to provide any additional information that would be helpful to you as you move through the Qualification Process.

Sincerely,

Philip C. Cohen Senior Vice President | NH Commercial Real Estate Finance People's United Bank 325 State Street Portsmouth, NH 03801 (603) 334-6705 Philip.Cohen@peoples.com

STREET-WORKS STUDIO

Street-Works Studio respects the history of places and operates on the premise that you work on the future based on an understanding of the past. Looking back to a time in America when urban places were the heart of the community, they bring cities forward in a new and compelling context, uncovering each site's unique narrative and authenticity. Street-Works is responsible for the design and planning of many national award-winning urban neighborhoods across the country, including Santana Row in San Jose, CA; Rockville Town Square in Rockville, MD; and, Blue Back Square in West Hartford, CT.

Their strengths in master planning and design contribute to the success of these places where their collaboration on the architectural design, urban planning, design management, physical site analysis, placemaking and district branding strategies create communities where people live prosperously. Stemming from a belief that local DNA makes each place its own distinctive mark in the fabric of our world's great cities, Street-Works Studio pulls from the historic fibers and character of each neighborhood knitting together disparate parts that as a whole make it cohesive, connected, and vital.

Street-Works Studio believes that engaging streetscapes & careful placemaking are imperative to a successful & sustainable neighborhood. Such prosperity can only be achieved by integrating the base of the buildings with landscape and open space, therefore creating a vibrant, enduring and cohesive streetscape. The streetscape should blend the retail storefronts, residential/office entrances and the open space into an active street setting that creates a pedestrian friendly environment. Great streetscapes consist of numerous key elements, including tree grates, planters, informal seating, café seating, artwork, and high-quality storefronts. Street-Works Studio believes that high-quality storefronts must go beyond the quality of the building architecture. Storefronts should have texture and character that add to the pedestrian environment through the use of architectural materials, details and color. Street-Works Studio encourages operable storefronts whenever appropriate to engage the sidewalk and strengthen the streetscape experience.

"Street-Works Studio brings a unique talent to the design and development process. They combine detailed technical knowledge with a deep understanding of human behavior to deliver comprehensive design solutions for the public realm."



ASSEMBLY ROW Somerville, MA



Transit Oriented Mixed-Use





DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM:

- RETAIL: 500,000 sf
- RESIDENTIAL: 2,100 DU
- OFFICE: 1,750,000 sf
- HOTEL: 200 Keys

FIRST YEAR OPEN: 2014

PROJECT SIZE: 5,000,000 sf

STATUS: PHASE 1: COMPLETE

PHASE 2: UNDER CONSTRUCTION

MASTER PLANNING DESIGN DIRECTION ENTITLEMENT SUPPORT STOREFRONT DESIGN

Assembly Row is a new 45-acre neighborhood that was master planned in concert with the local community. It is catalyzed by the successful collaboration with the MBTA to construct a new T station, which opened in September 2014, and enabled through a dynamic private-public partnership with the City of Somerville.

When completed, it will comprise over 5,000,000 square feet of retail, office space, residences, restaurants, a hotel and a waterfront park. The first phase opened in May 2014 and includes over 35 premier stores, a 12-screen movie theater, 448 AvalonBay rental apartments, 100,000 square feet of office space, a 44,000 square feet LegoLand Discovery Center and 11 restaurants. In December 2013, the largest hospital and physician organization in Massachusetts, Partners HealthCare System, announced it will consolidate administrative operations and move into 700,000 square feet of a new office space. The new office building is set to open in summer 2016, bringing nearly 5,000 employees to the neighborhood. As 2017 unfolds, an additional 200,000 square feet of retail, entertainment and restaurants will be added. An independent hotel with 155 rooms, 447 apartments, and 143 condominiums will bring additional neighbors to the current 800 residents that are already there.

With Street-Works Studio as the design director & master planner, Federal Realty Investment Trust will be able to achieve the goal of making Assembly Row walkable, bikeable, and organized around a series of dynamic open park spaces that can offer a full calendar of programs and events for the neighborhood and the surrounding community.

Assembly Row has been the recipient of many awards, including the Curbed Boston's Neighborhood of the year award in 2015.



For more information visit www.assemblyrow.com

REFERENCES

ASSEMBLY ROW:

Don Briggs Executive Vice President of Development Federal Realty Investment Trust 450 Artisan Way, Suite 320 Somerville, MA 02145 (617) 684-1500 dbriggs@federalrealty.com

PIKE & ROSE:

Evan Goldman Vice President of Land Acquisition and Development The Neighborhoods of EYA 4800 Hampden Lane, Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 (301) 634-8600 egoldman@eya.com

BLUE BACK SQUARE:

Rick Langhorne

President JWA Ventures, LLC 2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100 Montgomery, AL 36117 (334) 260-2502 r.langhorne@jwaventures.com

SANTANA ROW:

Jeff Berkes Executive Vice President - President of West Coast Federal Realty Investment Trust 356 Santana Row, Suite 1005 San Jose, CA 95128 (408) 551-4600 jberkes@federalrealty.com



PROFESSIONAL RESUME

TIM MOUNT



EDUCATION & QUALIFICATIONS: Miami University, Oxford, OH Bachelor of Environmental Design

AFFILIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS: International Council of Shopping Centers Urban Land Institute

EXPERIENCE:

Tim Mount is a co-owner of Street-Works Studio, and is in charge of all urban planning and design projects, bringing more than 20 years of experience in urban design and architecture. Tim's expertise in mixed-use is instrumental in the creation and continued vitality of his projects. He ensures that the implementation of any vision is realized; from master planning to architectural and open space character, to the day-to-day practicalities of a large-scale place. Among his top skills, is his ability to translate complicated development strategies into cohesive physical solutions, making sure that the project's vision is never lost even in the smallest detail.

Mr. Mount is the design director for Federal Realty Investment Trust's projects Pike & Rose in Rockville, MD and Assembly Row in Somerville, MA.

Prior to Street-Works Studio, he was a lead designer in Cooper Carry's Main Street Studio in Alexandria, VA where the conceptual design work on Bethesda Row and Santana Row began.

RELEVANT PROJECTS:

- Assembly Row, Somerville, MA
- Bethesda Row, Bethesda, MD
- Blue Back Square, West Harford, CT
- Crystal City, Arlington, VA
- The District Detroit, Detroit, MI
- Rockville Town Square, Rockville, MD
- Santana Row, San Jose, CA
- The Summit, Birmingham, AL
- Sunset Place, South Miami, FL
- Centre Pointe Village, Mauldin, SC



PROFESSIONAL RESUME

GREGG SANZARI



Principal

EXPERIENCE:

EDUCATION & QUALIFICATIONS: New York Institute of Technology, Old Westbury, NY Bachelor of Architecture

Registered Architect in New York & Alaska

AFFILIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS: NCARB International Council of Shopping Centers Urban Land Institute Town of New Castle Planning Board

Gregg Sanzari is a co-owner of Street-Works Studio, with over 25 years of experience in mixed-use and redevelopment projects. His focus is managing all the firm's projects and overseeing the operations and management of the company. Along with expertise in technical documentation of new and repositioned projects, Mr. Sanzari's responsibilities include retail consulting and tenant coordination in a variety of challenging projects.

One of his many contributions is directing the firm's staff in completing project planning and design documentation to the highest level. His expertise is evident in projects as diverse as the repositioning of an existing center to the development of a ground up mixed-use project. His skills range from management of consultants in refining concept plans to the detailed coordination required with retailers and other tenants as projects near completion.

RELEVANT PROJECTS:

- Bethesda Row, Bethesda, MD
- Blue Back Square, West Harford, CT
- The Boro, Tysons Corner, VA
- Brookwood Village, Birmingham, AL
- The District Detroit, Detroit, MI
- Park Place, Leawood, KS
- Rockville Town Square, Rockville MD
- Santana Row, San Jose CA
- The Summit, Birmingham, AL
- United Hospital Redevelopment, Port Chester, NY



PROFESSIONAL RESUME

MAYDA HORIZNY



Director of Planning & Design

EDUCATION & QUALIFICATIONS:

Columbia University, New York, NY Masters of Science in Architecture & Urban Design

Universidad Simon Bolivar, Caracas, Venezuela Bachelor of Architecture

Registered Architect in New York

AFFILIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS: International Council of Shopping Centers Urban Land Institute

EXPERIENCE:

Mayda Horizny is a senior member of the staff and Director of Planning and Design at Street-Works Studio. She has over 30 years of experience in planning mixed-use urban projects and shopping centers. With deep experience in urban planning, the design of Main Street districts is a particular specialty. Mrs. Horizny understands the nuances that make a district succeed once implemented and is skilled in directing a team to achieve that goal.

RELEVANT PROJECTS:

- Assembly Row, Somerville, MA
- Blue Back Square, West Harford, CT
- The Boro, Tysons Corner, VA
- Cathedral Commons, Washington, DC
- Crystal City, Arlington , VA
- The District Detroit, Detroit, MI
- Harrison Station, Harrison, NY

- Noroton Heights, Darien, CT
- New City, Washington, DC
- Pike & Rose, Rockville, MD
- The Summit, Birmingham, AL
- Target Urban Prototype, Stamford, CT
- United Hospital Redevelopment, Port Chester, NY
- Bridgeway Station, Mauldin, SC

